

Evaluating Brand Equity in Public Health Campaigns

Nottakrit Vantamay^{*}

Abstract

Brand equity has become one of the key concepts used for evaluating commercial brands widely by marketing communications practitioners. However, its role for evaluating public health campaigns has rarely been established. Therefore, this study is aimed to use brand equity as a framework for evaluating public health campaigns. The three national public health campaigns in Thailand for reducing alcohol consumption among Thai youth were purposively selected in this study. They included “MAO MAI KUB” (Don’t Drive Drunk), “NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA” (No Drink in the period of Buddhist Lent Festival), and “RUBNONG PLAUD LAO” (No Drink in freshman initiation activities). The empirical results after simple regression analysis in all three campaigns showed that campaign message exposures from marketing communications tools had a significantly positive relationship with brand equity. Besides, the findings from binary logistic regression analysis in all three campaigns also indicated that brand equity affected alcohol consumption among Thai youth significantly. This study suggests that brand equity can be used as a valuable framework for evaluating the outcome of public health campaigns effectively.

Keywords: Brand Equity; Public Health Campaigns

^{*} Assistant Professor, Department of Communication Arts and Information Science, Faculty of Humanities, Kasetsart University. E-mail: fhumsprv@ku.ac.th

1. Introduction

Brand equity has become an important issue for evaluating commercial brands widely among marketing communications practitioners. Brands that have high brand equity provide many benefits including positive consumer attitudes, willingness to pay premium prices, higher margins, brand extension opportunities, more powerful communication effectiveness, higher brand preferences, repeat purchases, and future profits (Keller, 1993; Aaker, 1991; Anantachart, 1999; Fayrene & Lee, 2011).

However, its role for evaluating public health campaigns has rarely been established. Besides, branding in public health campaigns is a previously underutilized strategy but it is currently growing, especially in social marketing communications (Evans, 2011). Therefore, evaluating brand equity in public health campaigns is very beneficial because it will help practitioners in public health and social marketers understand how campaigns are working among target audiences. The three national public health campaigns in Thailand for reducing alcohol consumption among Thai youth were purposively selected in this study because alcohol consumption among Thai youth has become a major public health concern in Thailand over the past few decades (National Statistical Office of Thailand, 2011).

The campaigns in this study included “MAO MAI KUB” (Don’t Drive Drunk), “NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA” (No Drink in the period of Buddhist Lent Festival), and “RUBNONG PLAUD LAO” (No Drink in freshman initiation activities). Therefore, the main objectives of the current study were two fold: to investigate the causal relationships

between campaign message exposures from marketing communications tools and brand equity, and to seek the causal relationships between brand equity and alcohol use behavior among Thai youth.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Brand Equity Framework

Brand equity can be defined as the value that consumers associate with a brand, as reflected in the dimensions of brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand associations, brand awareness, and other proprietary brand assets (Aaker, 1996a). Products with high brand equity can confer positive consumer attitudes, willingness to pay premium prices, higher margins, brand extension opportunities, more powerful communication effectiveness, higher brand preferences, repeat purchases, and future profits. Consequently, brand equity has become a common way to evaluate the value of commercial brands. David A. Aaker developed a brand equity model with ten dimensions, *The Brand Equity Ten* (Aaker, 1996a). *The Brand Equity Ten* is the ten sets of measures grouped into five dimensions. The first four dimensions represent customer perceptions of the brand—loyalty, perceived quality, associations, and awareness. The fifth is market behavior measures that represent information obtained from market based information rather than directly from customers. His model was originally designed with traditional consumer products (e.g. cars and toothpaste) in mind. However, brand equity's role for evaluating public health brands has rarely been established. Public health brands can be differentiated from commercial brands by only their purposes. Commercial branding is aimed to change buying behaviors but public health branding is intended to change health behaviors (Evans, Price, & Blahut, 2005).

However, branding can also apply to both business sectors and public health sectors. In public health sectors, branding can be used in communication campaign planning to reduce health-risk behaviors among populations such as tobacco use, physical inactivity, alcohol use, and sexual-risk behaviors. After reviewing comprehensive literatures, the researcher found that, in recent years, Evans and his colleagues have adapted the Aaker's brand equity model and used four dimensions or constructs from *The Brand Equity Ten*—loyalty, perceived quality, associations and awareness—to evaluate a public health brand aimed at smoking prevention in USA, *The Truth* campaign (Evans, Price, & Blahut, 2005). The fifth dimension was not used because it was not applicable for public health campaigns. Later, Price and his colleagues have also adapted the Aaker's brand equity model by using these four dimensions to evaluate a public health brand aimed at promote physical activity among children aged 9-13 years (tweens) in USA, *The VERB* campaign (Price, Potter, Das, Wang, & Huhman, 2009). These previous studies with both campaigns supported that campaign message exposures affected brand equity and brand equity also affected health behaviors significantly. In other words, the construct of brand equity mediate the relationship between branded health message exposures and intended behavioral outcomes.

Besides, they found that each brand equity subscale—loyalty, perceived quality, associations and awareness—affected health behaviors. That is, respondents with higher brand loyalty, perceived quality, etc. were less likely to perform health-risk behaviors (Evans, Price, & Blahut, 2005; Price et al., 2009). These studies suggest the potential value of using a brand equity framework to evaluate public health campaigns.

However, no studies to adapt the Aaker's brand equity framework for evaluating a public health brand aimed at alcohol use prevention among youth (15-24 years old). Consequently, Study on this topic is very beneficial because alcohol use among youth has become a major public health concern in many countries around the world. Besides, it will also help practitioners in public health and social marketers understand how alcohol prevention campaigns are working among youth. Importantly, studies about using a brand equity framework for evaluating a public health brand aimed at other health-risk behaviors are still more needed because they will help increase an understanding and extend the knowledge basis of brand management in public health more growing.

2.2 Alcohol Consumption Situations among Thai Youth and Alcohol Prevention Campaigns in Thailand

Alcohol consumption among Thai youth has become a major public health concern in Thailand over the past few decades. National studies in Thailand have indicated a significant increase in the use of alcohol among the 15-24-year-old age group; national surveys have found that the proportion of Thai youth using alcohol increased from 21.6% in 2001, to 23.5% in 2004, and to 23.7% in 2006. In addition, the latest national surveys of Thailand in 2011 reported that the proportion of Thai youth using alcohol is still at 23.7 % and beer is the most consumed alcoholic beverage among this age group. Moreover, 79.7% of current Thai drinkers over 15 years old reported that they first tried alcohol at the age of 15-24 years old. One study indicated that 37.3% of Thai adolescents in Bangkok were alcohol users. Among them, 42.1% were lifetime alcohol users, 56.1% were frequent drinkers (1-20 days in the

preceding 30 days of the survey), and 1.7% were heavy drinkers (more than 20 days in the preceding 30 days of the survey). Beside, the percentage of drinkers among young Thai females tend to increase both in the 15-19-year-old and 20-24-year-old age groups, respectively (Center for Alcohol Studies, 2007; National Statistical Office of Thailand. 2011).

Consequently, Thai youth should be recognized as a major risk group involved in alcohol use, particularly university students. Several studies in Thailand reported the widespread use of alcohol among Thai university students (18-24 years old). A majority (83.5%) of public university students in Bangkok reported using alcohol, and 97.2% of private university students in Bangkok reported trying alcohol. A recent study indicated that 53% of university students in the west of Bangkok and Metropolitan areas were lifetime alcohol users. Furthermore, alcohol use among Thai university students was related to a wide variety of problems including drunk driving, fighting, social relationship, academic problems, health problems, and financial problems (Center for Alcohol Studies, 2007; National Statistical Office of Thailand. 2011).

To deal with this problem, Thailand has used social marketing communication campaigns to prevent alcohol use among youth as an important intervention along with other interventions including laws, tax, and education policies. The well recognized and national alcohol prevention campaigns among youth in Thailand included three projects: "MAO MAI KUB" (Don't Drive Drunk), "NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA" (No Drink in the period of Buddhist Lent Festival), and "RUBNONG PLAUD LAO" (No Drink in freshman initiation activities). These public health brands have been launched for almost ten years and collaboratively

sponsored by health organizations in Thailand including Ministry of Public Health, Thai Health Promotion Foundation (Sor Sor Sor), Don't Drive Drunk Foundation, The Office of the Network to Stop Alcohol Consumption (Sor Khor Lor), and other relevant groups. "MAO MAI KUB" has formally been started in 2002 and followed by "NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA" in 2003 and "RUBNONG PLAUD LAO" in 2005, respectively. These campaigns used integrated marketing communication tools such as advertising, public relations, event marketing, direct marketing, personal media, sponsorship, and even media advocacy. The results of the campaigns were evaluated continuously and it was found that they were successful in building awareness, knowledge and public recall. The number of alcohol users over the country remains steady. The publics do not drink more than they normally drink. Figures remained constant for over the past five years with about 60% of alcohol users and about 40% of alcohol non-users (Sthapitanon, Sinsuwan, & Aisanon, 2008). However, evaluating these campaigns with a brand equity framework has previously not been conducted yet. Therefore, this study will give much valuable information for both academicians and practitioners in public health and social marketers to explore the knowledge of applying brand equity in business sectors for public health sectors. Besides, it can be said that this study is now being in a pioneering stage to apply a brand equity framework for evaluating public health campaigns in Thailand.

3. Research Method

3.1 Survey Methodology

A cross-sectional survey was undertaken from August to September 2012 in Bangkok, Thailand. The self-reporting questionnaires

were collected from 400 undergraduate students in eight universities located in Bangkok Metropolitan area by multistage sampling technique (King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Chulalongkorn University, Kasetsart University, Kasem Bundit University, Siam University, Krirk University, and Dhurakij Pundit University). The students were asked to complete the questionnaire after they were informed that their participation was voluntary, that their responses were anonymous and confidential, and that results would be reported only in a group format. All signed informed consent forms were separated from their questionnaires.

3.2 Measures

3.2.1 Campaign Message Exposures

Message exposures to anti-alcohol campaign from marketing communications tools in the last 3 months were measured using a 5-point rating scale, where 1 = never, 2 = seldom (1-2 times/ month), 3 = sometimes (3-4 times/month), 4 = often (5-6 times/month), and 5 = always (7 times or more/month). The exposures scale included 15 items. The reliability analysis by Cronbach's alpha was done in a pretest to evaluate the internal consistency of this summed scale. The results in three campaigns showed that alpha levels ranged from 0.86 to 0.93. Scores within this range are considered as an adequate indication of internal consistency of the data.

3.2.2 Brand Equity Scale

The development of the brand equity scale and subscale was theoretically guided by the Aaker's brand equity model with adaptations suitable for public health brands as recommended by Evans

and Hastings (2008). The brand equity scale consisting of four underlying brand equity subscales—brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand associations and brand awareness—was used to assess three alcohol prevention campaigns among youth. It was measured using a 5-point Likert-type agreement scale, where 1 = strongly disagree and 5 = strongly agree. Higher scores indicated higher brand equity. The brand equity scale included 37 totaled items. The reliability analysis by Cronbach's alpha was also done in a pretest to evaluate the internal consistency of this summed scale. The results in three campaigns showed that alpha levels ranged from 0.82 to 0.91. Scores within this range are considered as an adequate indication of internal consistency of the data.

3.2.3 Alcohol Consumption Behavior

To assess this variable, the dichotomous question (yes or no) was used in this part. Respondents were asked to answer the dichotomous question: In the last 3 months, did you drink alcohol? Response categories were "yes" (1) or "no" (0). This method is considered as measuring the actual behaviors recommended by past studies.

3.3 Statistical Analysis

For statistical analyses, means, standard deviation, and percentage were used in describing characteristics of the study sample. Additionally, simple regression analysis was used in examining the causal relationship between message exposures and brand equity. While binary logistics regression analysis was used in examining the causal relationship between brand equity and alcohol consumption behavior at the 0.05 level of statistical significance.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Characteristics of the Study Sample

The sample included 400 undergraduate students, aged 18-24-years-old in eight universities in the Bangkok Metropolitan Area. Most of them were female (52.5%). The average age was 19.97 years (S.D. = 1.49, Max. = 24, Min. = 18). The average income per month was THB 6,629.00 (S.D. = 2,949.82, Max. = 15,000, Min. = 2,000). The sample studied in the third year in the highest proportion (34.5%).

4.2 Brand Equity in Three Studied Campaigns

The results found that “MAO MAI KUB” has the highest overall brand equity scores (Mean = 3.65, S.D. = 0.49) and is followed by “NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA” (Mean = 3.57, S.D. = 0.48) and “RUBNONG PLAUD LAO” (Mean = 3.38, S.D. = 0.47), respectively as shown in Table 1.

4.3 Effects of Message Exposures on Brand Equity

After simple regression analysis had been performed, the results found that campaign message exposures from marketing communications tools positively affected brand equity scale and its four subscales in all studied campaigns. In other words, the Thai youths with higher message exposures will have higher brand equity scores. “MAO MAI KUB” showed the highest effect of message exposures on brand equity among studied campaigns (Beta = .550) and was followed by “NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA” (Beta = .522) and “RUBNONG PLAUD LAO” (Beta = .438), respectively as shown in Table 2. The results in this part support that using marketing communications tools can be used to boost overall brand equity scale and its four subscales in public health campaigns effectively.

4.4 Effects of Brand Equity on Alcohol Consumption

After binary logistic regression analysis had been performed, the results found that brand equity scale affected alcohol consumption behavior in all studied campaigns. All relationships showed a negative direction (Odd Ratio < 1.00). In other words, the Thai youths with higher brand equity scale were less likely to be alcohol users. “MAO MAI KUB” showed the highest probability of brand equity on alcohol consumption (Odd Ratio = .728) and was followed by “NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA” (Odd Ratio = .635) and “RUBNONG PLAUD LAO” (Odd Ratio = .632), respectively as shown in Table 3.

Table 1. Brand Equity in Three Studied Campaigns

| Brand Equity Construct | Public Health Brands | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | “MAO MAI KUB” | | “NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA” | | “RUBNONG PLAUD LAO” | |
| | Means | S.D. | Means | S.D. | Means | S.D. |
| Brand Loyalty Subscale | 3.85 | 0.63 | 3.78 | 0.62 | 3.78 | 0.64 |
| Perceived Quality Subscale | 3.77 | 0.62 | 3.70 | 0.58 | 3.65 | 0.61 |
| Brand Associations Subscale | 4.07 | 0.64 | 3.97 | 0.64 | 3.94 | 0.65 |
| Brand Awareness Subscale | 3.82 | 0.59 | 3.76 | 0.65 | 3.62 | 0.63 |
| Brand Equity Scale | 3.65 | 0.49 | 3.57 | 0.48 | 3.38 | 0.47 |

Note: a 5-point Likert-type scale was measured, where 1 = strongly disagree and 5 = strongly agree

However, some brand equity subscales affected alcohol consumption behavior. In “MAO MAI KUB” and “NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA”, brand associations subscale is the only one brand equity subscale affecting alcohol consumption behavior. In “RUBNONG PLAUD LAO”, brand associations subscale and brand awareness subscale are the only two brand equity subscales affecting alcohol consumption behavior. The results in this part suggest that brand equity in public health campaigns can affect health behaviors. Therefore, social marketers and practitioners in public health should take the concept of brand equity into campaigns development and evaluation for a sustained change in health behaviors.

Table 2. Effects of Message Exposures on Brand Equity

| Brand Equity Construct | Public Health Brands | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | “MAO MAI KUB” | “NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA” | “RUBNONG PLAUD LAO” |
| | Standardized Coefficients (Beta) | Standardized Coefficients (Beta) | Standardized Coefficients (Beta) |
| Brand Loyalty Subscale | .279** | .249** | .136** |
| Perceived Quality Subscale | .307** | .296** | .103* |
| Brand Associations Subscal | .275** | .242** | .105* |
| Brand Awareness Subscale | .186** | .158** | .209** |
| Brand Equity Scale | .550** | .522** | .438** |

Note: * = .05 level of statistical significance, ** = .01 level of statistical significance

The results of this research are consistent with previous studies showing the influences of public health brands on health behaviors (Evans, Price, & Blahut, 2005; Price et al., 2009; Evans et al., 2005; Hersey et al., 2007; Evans, Taruberekera, Longfield, & Snider, 2011; Evans, Wasserman, Bertolotti, & Martino, 2002). This is evidently true because brands can build relationships between consumers and products, services, lifestyles, or even health behaviors by providing beneficial exchanges and adding values to their objects. Therefore, public health brands are the associations that consumers hold for health behaviors that embody multiple health behaviors (Evans, Blistein, Hersey, & Renaud, 2008). This study suggests that public health branding should be used among social marketers and practitioners in public health. For implications, brand associations development should be more emphasized because this dimension is the only one brand equity subscale affecting alcohol consumption in all studied campaigns. Brand associations usually involve image dimensions that are unique to a campaign. This dimension will often reflect on differentiation from competitors' brands. It can be structured around three perspectives on the brand: *value*, *brand personality*, *organizational associations*. Hence, planners in three studied campaigns or in related campaigns for reducing alcohol consumption among youth should boost *values* for behaving no alcohol among Thai youths. For brand personality, planners should try to communicate the type of person Thai youths are or aspire to be through association with campaigns to relate to emotional and self-expressive benefits of *the brand personality*—cool, fun, creative, or innovative. Lastly, to boost desirable *organizational associations*, organizations that lie behind the public health brand should build trust, credibility, reputations, and honesty among their target audiences. This perspective can play an important role by showing that a campaign represents differentiation from

other campaigns and organizational associations that are often important bases of differentiation will usually include having a concern for customers, being innovative, striving for high quality, being successful, having visibility, being oriented toward the community, and being a global player (Aaker, 1996b). Besides, trying to boost brand awareness in “RUBNONG PLAUD LAO” is also needed because this subscale directly affected alcohol consumption among Thai youth in this campaign. Using integrated marketing communications (IMC) approach is recommended to increase brand awareness in the campaign.

This study has some limitations. First, this research was limited by the sampling area being only in Bangkok. This would reduce the generalizability of the findings to the whole country. Future research may need to be broadened to get the picture of the whole country more representatively. The second limitation was because the measures were self-reported; the respondents may have underreported their alcohol uses, possibly because of shame and guilt. However, the anonymous nature of responses in this study reduces the likelihood of such biased responses. Despite of these limitations, the main strength of the present study was the exploration of the effects of brand equity on public health campaigns for reducing alcohol consumption among Thai youth. It provide much valuable information for both academicians and practitioners in marketing, marketing communications, social marketing, communications, and public health fields in campaign planning, development, management, and evaluation. It can be said that public health branding has become an effective innovation for campaign management. However, public health brand research is still more needed. Especially, the study of public health branding in diverse areas and populations, and the development of standardized and validated brand

equity measures to assess across public health campaigns should be more conducted in the near future.

Table 3. Effects of Brand Equity on Alcohol Consumption

| Brand Equity Construct | Public Health Brands | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | “MAO MAI KUB” | “NGOD LAO KAO PUNSA” | “RUBNONG PLAUD LAO” |
| | Odd Ratio | Odd Ratio | Odd Ratio |
| Brand Loyalty Subscale | .810 | .759 | .753 |
| Perceived Quality Subscale | .884 | .930 | .883 |
| Brand Associations Subscale | .739* | .662* | .712* |
| Brand Awareness Subscale | .768 | .761 | .568** |
| Brand Equity Scale | .728* | .635* | .632* |

Note: * = .05 level of statistical significance, ** = .01 level of statistical significance

5. Conclusions

Brand equity performs as a mediating construct between branded campaign message exposures and alcohol consumption behavior. Higher branded campaign message exposures indicated higher brand equity. Besides, youths with higher brand equity were less likely to be alcohol users. This study showed that the brand equity construct can be used for evaluating public health brands effectively, like commercial brands. Future studies should utilize brand equity as a social marketing

campaign planning, developing, managing, and evaluating tool for a sustained change in health behaviors.

REFERENCES

- Aaker, D. A. 1991. **Managing Brand Equity: Capitalizing on the Value of a Brand Name**. Free Press, USA.
- Aaker, D. A. 1996a. "Measuring Brand Equity across Products and Markets". **California Management Review**, 38 (3): 102-120.
- Aaker, D. A. 1996b. **Building Strong Brands**. Free Press, USA.
- Anantachart, S. 1999. "Learning from Consumer's Brand Equity: A Marketing Communications Perspective". **Journal of Communication Arts**, 17(1): 63-81.
- Center for Alcohol Studies. 2007. **Status of alcohol consumption**. Office of Narcotics Control Board, Thailand (in Thai).
- Evans, W. D., Taruberekera, N., Longfild, K., & Snider, J. 2011. "Brand Equity and Willingness to pay for Condoms in Zimbabwe". **Reproductive Health**, 8 (29): 1-8.
- Evans, W. D., Wasserman, J., Bertolotti, E., & Martino, S. 2002. "Branding Behavior: The Strategy behind The TRUTH Campaign". **Social Marketing Quarterly**, 8 (3): 17-29.
- Evans, W. D., Blistein, J., Hersey, J. C., Renaud, J. 2008. "Systematic Review of Public Health Branding". **Journal of Health Communication**, 13: 721-741.
- Evans, W. D. 2011. "Public Health Brand Research: Case Studies and Future Agenda". **Cases in Public Health Communication & Marketing**, 5: 48-71. [Online]. Available: <http://www.casesjournal.org/volume5>. [Accessed 22 September 2012].

- Evans, W. D., Price, S. M., & Blahut, S. 2005. "Evaluating the truth Brand". **Journal of Health Communication**, 10: 181-192.
- Evans, W. D., & Hastings, G. **Public Health Branding: Applying Marketing for Social Change**, Oxford University Press, USA.
- Evans, W. D., Ray, S., Homsy, G., Renaud, J., Hersey, J. C., Schieber, B., Dickerson, I., & Willett, J. 2005. "Longitudinal Evaluation of OHIO's stand Tobacco Countermarketing Brand". **Proceeding of the 133 Annual Meeting of the American Public Health Association**, Philadelphia, PA, December 10-14.
- Fayrene, C., & Lee, G. C. 2011. "Customer-based Brand Equity: A Literature Review". **Journal of Arts Science & Commerce**, 2 (1): 33-42.
- Hersey, J., Ray, S., Homsy, G., Evans, W. D., Schieber, B., & Willett, J. 2007. "Brand Equity with a Social Marketing Campaign Helps Prevent Youth Smoking: A Longitudinal Evaluation of the stand Campaign". **Proceeding of the 135th Annual Meeting of the American Public Health Association**, Washington, DC, November 3-7.
- Keller, K. L. 1993. "Conceptualizing, Measuring, and Managing Customer-based Brand Equity". **Journal of Marketing**, 57(1): 1-22.
- National Statistical Office of Thailand. 2011. **The Cigarette Smoking and Alcoholic Drinking Behavior Survey 2011**. National Statistical Office of Thailand, (in Thai).
- Price, S. M., Potter, L. D., Das, B., Wang, Y. L., & Huhman, M. 2009. "Exploring the Influence of the VERB Brand Using a Brand Equity Framework". **Social Marketing Quarterly**, 15(4): 66-82.
- Sthapitanon, P., Sinsuwan, N., Aisanon, C. 2008. **Campaign in Controlling Alcohol Consumption: Evaluative Results in 2006-2007**, Lian Boon Karnpim, Thailand.