Technological, Pedagogical, and Sociocultural Impact Factors and Effective Implementation Strategies of AI-Driven Personalized Learning for College Students

Xiaoli Ma[1], Sobsun Mahaniyom[2*], and Narong Wichairat[3]

[*]*Corresponding author*

Receive December 6, 2025; Retrieved January 10, 2026; Accepted March 10, 2026

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a key driver of innovation in higher education, particularly through the development of AI-driven personalized learning environments. This study aims to examine the effectiveness of AI-driven personalized learning in enhancing learning efficiency, student engagement, learning motivation, and overall learning experience; to analyze its multidimensional impacts across technological, pedagogical, and sociocultural dimensions; and to propose strategic guidelines for its equitable and sustainable implementation in higher education.

A qualitative research design was employed using semi-structured interviews with 15 participants, including pedagogical administrators, university instructors integrating AI-based learning platforms, and undergraduate students actively using AI-driven personalized learning systems. The interview data were analyzed through thematic analysis to identify key patterns, influencing factors, and underlying mechanisms shaping AI-driven personalized learning practices.

The findings indicate that AI-driven personalized learning effectively supports learner-centered education by enabling adaptive learning pathways, personalized feedback, and data-informed instructional decisions. Students reported improved learning efficiency and engagement, while instructors highlighted reduced administrative workload and enhanced instructional support. However, the effectiveness of AI-driven personalized learning is strongly influenced by technological readiness, instructors' pedagogical competence, students' digital literacy, and institutional and sociocultural support. Challenges related to algorithmic transparency, data privacy, and educational equity were also identified. The study highlights the importance of faculty development, transparent AI governance, and inclusive student

[1, 2*, 3]Faculty of Education, Shinawatra University, Bangkok, Thailand

Email: 124402610@qq.com[1], sobsun.m@siu.ac.th[2*], Narong.w@siu.ac.th[3]

support mechanisms to ensure the ethical and sustainable adoption of AI-driven personalized learning in higher education.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; AI-Driven Personalized Learning; Higher Education; Qualitative Research; Educational Technology

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a transformative force in higher education, particularly through AI-driven personalized learning. By utilizing machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing, AI systems can analyze students' learning behaviors, knowledge acquisition, and emotional states in real time, enabling adaptive instructional content, personalized feedback, and targeted learning support (Akgun, S. & Greenhow, C., 2021). Empirical evidence from international institutions suggests that AI can enhance learning efficiency while reducing instructors' administrative and assessment burdens, as demonstrated by the widespread adoption of AI teaching assistants and adaptive learning platforms in blended and online education (Sajja, G. S. et al., 2023; Hmoud, H. Y. et al., 2024).

Several universities worldwide have integrated AI to support personalized learning and self-directed study. In China, institutions such as Yinchuan University of Technology and Peking University have implemented AI-driven tutoring systems and intelligent learning platforms to enhance individualized learning pathways and learner autonomy (Deng, Z. & Xie, K., 2016). Globally, initiatives from leading universities in the United States and Europe have further promoted the application of AI in education while advancing discussions on ethical frameworks and responsible use (Smith, J. & Johnson, R., 2022).

Despite these developments, significant challenges remain in the practical implementation of AI-driven personalized learning. Algorithmic opacity and data bias continue to erode trust, as AI systems often operate as "black boxes," and biased training data may perpetuate existing educational inequalities (Baker, R. S. et al., 2022). In addition, data privacy and security concerns pose serious risks, particularly when sensitive student information is not adequately protected (Bulut, O. et al., 2024).

Moreover, uneven digital literacy and technology acceptance among faculty and students limit effective AI adoption. Insufficient training and resistance to pedagogical change hinder the transition toward student-centered learning environments, while differences in students' technological readiness contribute to a new form of digital divide (Pornudomthap, S. et al., 2022; Punar Özçelik, N. et al., 2024). Disciplinary and regional disparities further exacerbate these challenges, as AI applications are more readily adopted in STEM fields than in humanities-based disciplines, and institutions in resource-limited areas often lack adequate infrastructure (Merino-Campos, C. et al., 2025; Somthawinpongsai, C. et al., 2025).

Therefore, the effective integration of AI in higher education requires not only technological innovation but also systemic support in algorithmic transparency, data governance, faculty development, and policy regulation. Addressing these issues is essential to ensure that AI-driven personalized learning contributes to equitable, ethical, and sustainable educational development.

Objective

This study aims to achieve the following three research objectives:

1. To examine the effectiveness of AI-driven personalized learning in higher education, with particular emphasis on its impact on learning efficiency, student engagement, learning motivation, and overall learning experience in real educational settings.

2. To analyze the multidimensional effects of AI-driven personalized learning on university students and instructional practices, encompassing technological dimensions (e.g., system functionality, data utilization, and algorithmic transparency), pedagogical dimensions (e.g., teacher–student interaction, instructional design, and shifts toward student-centered learning), and socio-educational dimensions (e.g., educational equity, accessibility, and ethical considerations).

3. To propose strategic guidelines for the equitable and sustainable implementation of AI-driven personalized learning in higher education, focusing on institutional readiness, faculty development, data governance, and policy support to enhance transparency, inclusiveness, and long-term educational quality.

Review of Literature and Ideas

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has been increasingly adopted in higher education to support personalized learning, enabling instructional content, feedback, and learning pathways to be tailored to individual learners through data-driven analysis of learning behaviors and performance (Akgun, S. & Greenhow, C., 2021). Previous studies indicate that AI-driven personalized learning can enhance learning efficiency, student engagement, and learning motivation, particularly through adaptive learning platforms and intelligent tutoring systems (Sajja, G. S. et al., 2023). During the COVID-19 pandemic, AI-based technologies further demonstrated their value by supporting instructional continuity and remote learning environments (Hmoud, H. Y. et al., 2024).

Empirical evidence from Asian and international universities suggests that AI-supported learning systems can strengthen self-directed learning and individualized instruction when supported by appropriate technological infrastructure and pedagogical design. For example, intelligent learning platforms employing knowledge graphs have been shown to improve students' autonomous learning capabilities and conceptual understanding (Deng, Z. & Xie, K., 2016). However, research also emphasizes that the effectiveness of AI-driven personalized

learning depends on institutional readiness, faculty competence, and alignment with educational objectives (Abulibdeh, A. et al., 2024).

Beyond learning outcomes, scholars highlight the multidimensional impacts of AI in higher education. Technological concerns include algorithmic opacity, data bias, and limited transparency, which may undermine trust and reinforce educational inequality (Baker, R. S., et al., 2022). From a pedagogical perspective, AI reshapes teacher–student interactions and instructional roles, yet cannot replace educators' professional judgment, particularly in disciplines requiring critical thinking and emotional engagement (Merino-Campos, C. et al., 2025). Resistance among faculty members and uneven digital literacy among students further constrain effective AI adoption (Pornudomthap, S. et al., 2022; Punar Özçelik, N. et al., 2024).

Ethical issues related to data privacy and governance have also emerged as central challenges. AI-driven learning systems rely heavily on sensitive student data, necessitating robust data protection mechanisms and clear institutional policies to ensure responsible and equitable implementation (Bulut, O. et al., 2024). Consequently, recent literature underscores the importance of integrated strategies encompassing technological infrastructure, faculty development, ethical governance, and policy support to promote the sustainable and inclusive use of AI in higher education (Somthawinpongsai, C. et al., 2025).

Research scope

This study examines AI-driven personalized learning in higher education, focusing on its effectiveness in enhancing learning efficiency, student engagement, learning motivation, and overall learning experience. The research scope emphasizes the analysis of technological, pedagogical, and sociocultural factors that influence the implementation and outcomes of AI-driven personalized learning, including system functionality, teacher–student interaction, digital literacy, and ethical considerations related to data use and educational equity (Akgun, S. & Greenhow, C., 2021; Baker, R. S., et al., 2022).

The study involves key stakeholders with direct experience in AI-based learning environments, including pedagogical administrators, university instructors, and undergraduate students actively using AI-powered personalized learning platforms. Methodologically, the research is limited to a qualitative approach employing semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis to provide in-depth contextual understanding rather than statistically generalizable findings (Creswell, J. W. & Poth, C. N., 2018). The study is conducted within a single higher education institutional context; therefore, the findings should be interpreted with consideration of contextual and cultural limitations.

Research Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore the effectiveness, influencing factors, and implementation implications of AI-driven personalized learning in higher education, in alignment with the research objectives. A qualitative approach was selected to capture in-depth experiences, perceptions, and contextual insights that cannot be adequately explained through quantitative data alone.

Data were collected using semi-structured interviews, which allowed participants to reflect on their authentic experiences while ensuring consistency across key themes. Interview topics focused on (1) patterns of AI system usage, (2) perceived effectiveness in enhancing learning efficiency, engagement, and learning outcomes, (3) pedagogical support and feedback mechanisms, (4) technological adaptability and challenges, and (5) ethical and improvement considerations. This design aimed to uncover both shared patterns and individual variations in AI-driven personalized learning practices.

The interview data were analyzed through coding and thematic analysis using qualitative data analysis software to identify key influencing factors and underlying mechanisms shaping AI-driven personalized learning in higher education.

Participants Selection

Participants were selected through convenience sampling from a higher education institution actively implementing AI-powered personalized learning platforms. The sample comprised 15 participants, including 10 instructors (pedagogical administrators and lecturers teaching or integrating AI-related courses) and 5 undergraduate students who regularly used AI-driven learning systems.

This participant selection ensured representation of multiple stakeholder perspectives, including institutional management, instructional practice, and learner experience. All participants had direct experience with AI-driven personalized learning, enabling them to provide relevant and informed insights into its practical application.

Data Collection Procedures

Semi-structured interviews were conducted either face-to-face or online, depending on participants' availability. Each interview lasted approximately 45–60 minutes and was audio-recorded with participants' informed consent. Interview questions addressed practical experiences, cognitive challenges, technological adaptability, pedagogical interactions, and sociocultural and ethical considerations related to AI-driven personalized learning.

All recordings were transcribed verbatim to ensure data accuracy and completeness before analysis.

Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was conducted following a systematic process. First, open coding was applied to identify meaningful units related to participants' experiences with AI-driven personalized learning. Second, codes were grouped into broader categories reflecting technological, pedagogical, and sociocultural dimensions. Finally, these categories were synthesized into overarching themes that explained how multiple factors interact to influence the effectiveness of AI-driven personalized learning.

This analytical process enabled the development of a refined conceptual understanding of AI-driven personalized learning mechanisms, directly supporting the study's objective of proposing effective and sustainable implementation strategies.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained prior to data collection. All participants were informed of the study's purpose, the voluntary nature of participation, and confidentiality measures. Pseudonyms were used in all transcripts and reports, and participants retained the right to withdraw from the study at any time without consequence.

Results

The results of this study are presented in accordance with the three research objectives. Findings are derived from thematic analysis of semi-structured interviews with pedagogical administrators, instructors, and students engaged in AI-driven personalized learning.

1. Effectiveness of AI-Driven Personalized Learning in Higher Education

Findings indicate that AI-driven personalized learning is perceived as effective in enhancing learning efficiency, student engagement, and overall learning experience. Most student participants reported that AI-powered learning platforms enabled them to manage study time more efficiently through personalized learning pathways, adaptive content recommendations, and immediate feedback. These features reduced repetitive trial-and-error learning and helped students focus on areas requiring improvement.

Instructors similarly noted that AI systems supported instructional decision-making by providing learning analytics on students' progress, engagement levels, and knowledge gaps. This data-driven insight allowed instructors to tailor instructional strategies and offer more targeted academic support. Additionally, administrators emphasized that AI-driven systems reduced routine assessment and administrative workloads, enabling instructors to allocate more time to mentoring and pedagogical innovation.

2. Multidimensional Impacts of AI-Driven Personalized Learning

Analysis revealed that the impact of AI-driven personalized learning operates across technological, pedagogical, and sociocultural dimensions.

From a technological perspective, participants highlighted system stability, ease of use, and the accuracy of recommendation algorithms as critical factors influencing learning effectiveness. Students with higher digital literacy demonstrated greater confidence in navigating AI platforms and benefited more from personalized feedback, whereas those with lower technological adaptability experienced initial difficulties.

From a pedagogical perspective, instructors reported a shift in teaching roles from content delivery toward facilitation and learning guidance. AI systems were viewed as supportive tools rather than replacements for instructors, particularly in fostering critical thinking, discussion, and emotional engagement. However, some instructors expressed concerns regarding limited algorithmic transparency, which occasionally reduced trust in AI-generated recommendations.

From a sociocultural perspective, differences in learning environments, peer support, and institutional culture influenced students' engagement with AI-driven learning. Participants noted that supportive institutional policies and training opportunities enhanced acceptance of AI systems, while unequal access to technological resources risked reinforcing educational disparities among students.

3. Strategies for Effective and Sustainable Implementation

Based on participants' experiences and suggestions, several strategies emerged for the equitable and sustainable implementation of AI-driven personalized learning. First, participants emphasized the importance of continuous faculty development to enhance technological competence and pedagogical integration of AI tools. Second, students and instructors highlighted the need for transparent and explainable AI systems to build trust and encourage consistent usage. Third, administrators stressed the significance of institutional support, including clear data governance policies, ethical guidelines, and infrastructure investment, to ensure responsible AI adoption.

Overall, the results suggest that while AI-driven personalized learning offers substantial benefits for higher education, its effectiveness depends on the interaction of technological readiness, pedagogical practices, and sociocultural support systems.

Conclusion, Discussion, Suggestion

This study examined AI-driven personalized learning in higher education, focusing on its effectiveness, multidimensional impacts, and implementation strategies. The findings indicate that AI-driven personalized learning enhances learning efficiency, student engagement, and learning motivation by providing adaptive learning pathways and data-informed instructional support. However, its effectiveness depends not only on technological capability but also on the interaction of technological readiness, pedagogical practices, and sociocultural contexts.

Consistent with prior studies, the results confirm that AI supports learner-centered education while reshaping, rather than replacing, instructors' roles (Akgun, S. & Greenhow, C., 2021; Sajja, G. S. et al., 2023). Instructors remain essential in facilitating critical thinking and meaningful interaction, particularly in disciplines requiring human judgment (Merino-Campos, C. et al., 2025). Nevertheless, challenges related to algorithmic transparency, digital literacy, data privacy, and educational equity persist, echoing concerns in the existing literature (Baker, R. S., et al., 2022; Bulut, O. et al., 2024).

Based on these findings, the study suggests that higher education institutions should strengthen faculty development, promote transparent and ethical AI governance, and provide targeted student support to reduce digital disparities. Future research should employ mixed-methods or longitudinal designs to further examine the long-term and contextual effects of AI-driven personalized learning across diverse educational settings.

Knowledge of Research

This study contributes new knowledge to the field of higher education by providing an in-depth qualitative understanding of AI-driven personalized learning from a multidimensional perspective. Unlike prior studies that predominantly emphasize technological effectiveness or quantitative learning outcomes, this research integrates technological, pedagogical, and sociocultural dimensions to explain how AI-driven personalized learning functions in real educational contexts.

The findings extend existing literature by demonstrating that the effectiveness of AI-driven personalized learning is shaped not only by system functionality but also by instructors' pedagogical practices, students' digital literacy, and institutional and sociocultural support mechanisms. This study further highlights the importance of algorithmic transparency, ethical data governance, and faculty development as critical conditions for equitable and sustainable AI adoption in higher education.

Moreover, this research offers a conceptual framework that clarifies the interactions among AI technology, instructional practices, and sociocultural factors, contributing to theoretical development in educational technology and learner-centered pedagogy. The insights generated can inform future empirical research, policy formulation, and institutional strategies aimed at responsibly integrating AI into higher education systems.

References

Abulibdeh, A., Zaidan, A. A., & Zaidan, B. B. (2024). Smart education and AI adoption in higher education institutions. *Education and Information Technologies, 29*(2), 345–362.

Akgun, S., & Greenhow, C. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in higher education. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 69*(4), 1–7.

Baker, R. S., Hawn, A., & Hershkovitz, A. (2022). Bias and fairness in artificial intelligence for education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3*, 100065.

Bulut, O., Johnson, M., & Gierl, M. J. (2024). Data privacy and security in AI-driven educational systems. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 31*(1), 85–102.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Deng, Z., & Xie, K. (2016). Intelligent learning platforms and knowledge graph applications in higher education. *Modern Educational Technology, 26*(4), 12–18.

Hmoud, H. Y., Abualrub, I., & Al-Shara, H. (2024). Artificial intelligence and continuity of higher education during pandemics. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21*(1), 1–19.

Merino-Campos, C., Sánchez-García, P., & López-García, X. (2025). Artificial intelligence in humanities education: Opportunities and limitations. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 12*(1), 45–58.

Pornudomthap, S., Somthawinpongsai, C., & Piphitpakdee, P. (2022). Digital literacy and technology acceptance in higher education. *Journal of Educational Innovation, 14*(2), 33–48.

Punar Özçelik, N., Karataş, S., & Korkmaz, Ö. (2024). Teachers' resistance and acceptance of AI in education. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 135*, 104327.

Sajja, G. S., Batra, S., & Pathak, N. (2023). AI teaching assistants and personalized learning in higher education. *Education and Information Technologies, 28*(6), 7211–7230.

Smith, J., & Johnson, R. (2022). Global perspectives on artificial intelligence in education. *Computers & Education, 184*, 104522.

Somthawinpongsai, C., Pornudomthap, S., & Kumthrap, S. (2025). Regional disparities and AI adoption in higher education. *Asian Journal of Education and Development Studies, 14*(1), 1–15.

