

“An International Experience in an English Class Is...”: An Examination of Postgraduate Students’ Perspectives

Daron Benjamin Loo

Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia

Email: daronloo@ums.edu.my

Received March 21, 2025; revised July 4, 2025; accepted July 6, 2025

Abstract

It is important to understand students’ perspectives about their international experience in an English class because this would help practitioners in their teaching practice and material development. In this study, these perspectives were collected through an open-ended questionnaire that was distributed to 35 groups of international postgraduate students. All these students were enrolled in an academic writing course at a university in Singapore. The questionnaire asked participants for their thoughts and opinions on English lessons that were designed with an international focus. The data was then analyzed through a corpus tool, which identified recurring words. The contextual meanings of these recurring words were then thematized. The main findings saw the themes of “different yet inclusive”; “spurring improvements in writing”; and “learning affordances through native language”. These themes indicated that the participants viewed the international experience as bringing together different perspectives, including an extent of local knowledge and practices. The latter was exemplified through the use of their native language to better understand academic communication in English. Nonetheless, the findings also point towards an ideological tension as postgraduate international students would still need to develop an acceptable level of English language proficiency. This study thus highlights the complexities involved in creating an inclusive and effective English for academic purposes (EAP) course as part of an international experience at the university.

Keywords: English for academic purposes, internationalization,
postgraduate students, translanguaging,
English-medium instruction (EMI)

The internationalization of higher education has transformed universities worldwide in terms of policies, curricula, and priorities. This transformation has been imagined in different ways; most commonly through the recruitment of international students and academic staff, the active participation of universities in global rankings, and the increased adoption and use of English as the medium of instruction (Zajda & Jacob, 2022). These changes reflect a

broadier effort to form global perspectives within the higher education realm and promote competitiveness in an increasingly borderless world.

A notable change resulting from the internationalization of higher education is the restructuring of degree programs and pedagogical approaches, particularly in the use of English as a medium of instruction (EMI) (Bolton & Botha, 2020). While the adoption of EMI facilitates access to global academic discourse, it also presents challenges, especially for students who are still developing their English proficiency, as well as academic communication and literacy skills. Higher education that is internationalized may also implement teaching and learning activities that are unfamiliar to students. This would necessitate them to invest additional time to adjust and to be comfortable with novel pedagogical approaches, especially those that may not be aligned with their sociocultural preferences (Munna, 2022). Furthermore, despite the assumption that EMI environments foster international perspectives, minimal research has explored how these settings influence English language learning and instruction. This issue is especially pivotal at the postgraduate level where many programs require students to publish their research in international indexed journals, which are often in English (Xu, 2024).

This study intends to address this gap by examining the experiences of postgraduate students enrolled in an English academic writing course situated in and designed with an international orientation. The specific research question this study aims to discuss is, “What are postgraduate students’ perspectives towards international approaches in an academic writing course?” An open-ended questionnaire was utilized to collect qualitative data, and subsequently analyzed through corpus-assisted thematic analysis. It is hoped the findings from this study will extend discussions on how internationalization shapes the teaching and learning of English for academic purposes (EAP).

Literature Review

An International Experience in Higher Education

Higher education institutions view internationalization as an opportunity to expand resources and to elevate their ranking (Kanmodi et al., 2024). In the process of internationalization, higher education institutions are compelled to engage in globalization efforts, so as to be comparable to other universities, especially those based in the western context. Through benchmarking exercises with other institutions around the globe, the internationalization of a university may “produce curricular homogeneity, but may also, in the meantime, create a heterogeneous context in terms of cultures and values and promote a critical understanding of internationalization, globalization, and diversity among students” (Gu & Lee, 2019, p. 401). Internationalization is not just a concept that can shape the management or administrative processes of the institution,

but also the perspectives held or maintained by the community within that institution. For students, then, an international experience would be their exposure and interaction with diverse perspectives introduced by university peers or instructors. This would involve incorporating different perspectives and practices to enrich students' university experience, which has inevitably emphasized the view that students are customers (Marginson, 2024; Munna, 2022).

A common outcome of internationalization is competition, which arises due to the pressure to attract and maintain talented students who may contribute to the success of the institution, or even to the host nation (Yuan & Li, 2024). Internationalization also presents an opportunity for foreign talent to be trained and prepared for the prospect of staying back in the host country for employment (Yang, 2022). For universities in the east, one approach taken to be competitive with their western counterparts is through EMI university programs. In this setup, students would need to develop English proficiency not just to engage with content, lecturers, and peers in English; they would also have to develop an understanding of communication and academic notions that are integral to teaching and learning processes (Shepard & Rose, 2023). To ensure that students can socialize and function in an EMI setting, the university and its academic staff (not just language instructors) will need to put forth a deliberate plan to ensure international students receive instruction that is appropriate and relevant to the overall learning expectation. This would include integrating English academic communication skills even in subject content courses (Tang, 2020). To promote and support such effort, there needs to be an open and transparent communication culture established between language practitioners, subject content lecturers, as well as the institution, where collaboration can be initiated in planning on how a lesson or a course can be taught to enhance students' academic literacy and discipline-specific skills (Shao & Rose, 2024).

English Learning and Teaching in an International Setting

In the internationalization of higher education, English has been recognized as an important medium for instruction. Over time, research work on English as an international marker has brought about critical issues that affect its teaching and learning. This includes not only the use of English, but also views held towards its use to accomplish different university tasks. Attitudes towards lecturers who teach or use English have also been shown to be dynamic and diverse (Sahan et al., 2022). Thus, it comes as no surprise when studies report that even at institutions where English is supposed to be the main medium of instruction, there are spaces found within the teaching and learning environment where the language is not used; instead, the local language

and sociocultural processes are preferred (Gu & Lee, 2019). This is not just a matter of proficiency, or lack of. In fact, the use of English may not always be the most appropriate in an international setting. This not only concerns the suitability of the language use, but the possible interpretations (or misinterpretations) due to the use of English as the mode of communication and framework for understanding (Flowerdew, 2022).

Another outcome of having EMI in university programs is the configuration of space where international students have been able to foster entrepreneurial agency. Dong and Han (2025) discuss this as students' self-initiative in identifying opportunities for language development support. International students may independently source for help from digital tools or peers to improve their language and communicative skills. In doing so, these students alleviate emotional stress induced from being in a setting where they are expected to operate in a language they may still be learning. Recognizing students as capable would minimize the risk of viewing them through a deficient lens; instead, students are viewed as having the liberty and resourcefulness to identify ways to maneuver through an international setting (Lau & Lin, 2017). Considering learning opportunities that students may source independently, instructors should provide them with academic skills that they could further develop. This may be done through awareness raising activities, such as recognizing peculiar rhetorical structures present in academic writing, or anticipating audience expectations, especially if the written product is eventually disseminated among members of the same disciplinary circle (Bhowmik & Chaudhuri, 2022). These pedagogical practices may constitute approaches that cater to an international need, given its focus on honing students' ability to reflect upon discourse they encounter that would be then used as a starting point for them to produce their own academic communication (Bakogiannis & Papavasiliou, 2025).

Since EMI programs exist within a context with other languages, it has been recognized that the use of English in this context would be culturally distinct and nuanced. Furthermore, there is an openness to the presence of the students' native language in supporting their university studies (Pomat et al., 2022). Besides aiding with students' academic progress, allowing local languages into the English classroom will instill self-respect among students who learn English as a foreign language, and affirm the value of local languages. This will foster an acceptance towards an environment where it is possible for languages to be used side by side (Sung, 2022). Studies have affirmed this as a practice of translanguaging, where opportunities are given to both students and their lecturers to examine how languages may be used together to do and complete learning processes (see Liu et al., 2025). From a conceptual perspective, translanguaging is a "social space" where students, along with their lecturers, are able to bring together linguistic and other

academic resources that would support teaching and learning activities. This contributes further to the internationalization of a study experience as translanguaging meshes global and local perspectives and practices (Tsou, 2021). Hence, even if a higher education institution goes through the process of internationalization, this does not mean that the local linguistic practices and beliefs should be displaced or positioned as being inferior (Ferguson, 2021; Sung, 2022). Given the dynamic nature of English language use in international contexts, it should be noted that students' English language skills may develop differently. Moreover, the use of English as a medium for instruction and communication, especially in the internationalized setting of higher education, is not equitable (Gu & Lee, 2019).

Method

Study Context and Participants

This study took place in an academic writing course taken by international postgraduate students at a public university in Singapore. The main objective of the course was to help students improve their writing skills for academic and publication purposes. The participants were recruited through convenience sampling, as they were enrolled in a course taught by the researcher. There were 35 groups of three to four students involved in this study. This constituted a total of 107 participants. Most of the students were from China ($n = 101$) while the remainder were from other parts of Asia. The students were all working on their PhDs in various academic disciplines.

Open-Ended Questionnaire

Data collection was done through an open-ended questionnaire developed by the researcher. This was subsequently validated by two experts who taught the same course. The experts evaluated items in terms of clarity and relevance to the research question and aim. Based on the feedback provided by the experts, the open-ended questions were refined and reworded to be more focused and to contain direct examples that would guide students in their response (DeCino & Waalkes, 2019). To pilot the refined open-ended questionnaire, it was checked by two former students who had taken the course, which led to further refinement in terms of language use in the items.

The finalized questionnaire had five open-ended questions, with the third question comprising three sub-questions. The first two items elicited students' views about the international experience and the English language classroom in an international setting. Then, the three sub-items were brief examples of international approaches to teaching English derived from published research. The open-ended questions were:

1. What does an international experience at the university mean to you?
2. What does an international English language classroom at the university mean to you?
3. Do you think these classroom practices reflect an international approach for English language teaching?

Example 1: In an academic writing tutorial, the lecturer discussed the importance of non-sexist language. This discussion was not planned. Instead, it was a response to the assumption that the gendered pronoun would be acceptable to use to refer to anyone (from Stormbom, 2019)

Example 2: A professor teaching a graduate communication course noticed some grammar mistakes in her students' presentations. The students and the professor share the same first language. To help the students avoid making similar mistakes in the future, the professor explains and points out the differences of the grammar concepts in English and in their first language. This is so that students would be able to discern these concepts better (from van Rijt et al., 2019).

Example 3: At the beginning of a lesson, the lecturer invites the students to share their opinions about the growing amount of information that is freely available on the Internet. Some students shared, after which the lecturer elaborated more on what students had shared (from Crowther & De Costa, 2017).

The open-ended questionnaire was distributed online and was completed in groups. All groups were allowed and encouraged to discuss the questionnaire items, and were told that they could have divergent views. The students were also assured that their responses would be kept confidential and anonymous. The questionnaire was completed during class time.

Data Analysis

Guided by constructivism, the analysis of students' responses was carried out by identifying and constructing meaning from the data itself. This was done through corpus-assistant qualitative analysis. First, the students' responses were compiled into a corpus which was then compared against a benchmark corpus (Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA)) by using AntConc 3.5.9 (Macintosh OS X, 2020). Words were extracted and analyzed based on both frequency and log-likelihood scores to determine their statistical distinctiveness from the reference corpus (Pojanapunya & Watson Todd, 2018).

Next, recurring words were examined through their context of occurrence. This provided a contextual understanding of the words (see Table 1). Meanings were then drawn together to form themes, which were further elaborated with support drawn from related literature. For this process, the experts who were involved in refining the open-ended questionnaire evaluated and discussed the data interpretation to ensure that the understanding of meaning had resonance and reflexivity. On top of ensuring reliability, resonance and reflexivity in qualitative study is important to determine the subjectivities involved in data analysis, where researchers can identify their attitudes and perspectives towards the phenomenon under investigation (Medico & Santiago-Delefosse, 2014).

Table 1
Example of Coding

Extract with Key Word in Context	Meaning of Key Word Based on Context
Living at a university abroad might be an interesting experience for international students because of experiencing new and different cultures as well as making interaction with students from different background	Difference is viewed positively and is viewed as enriching what they know about others.
Even though we might be in a class about English, we get to share our own culture experience. There are various students from different countries with different languages. And how we learn is quite different teaching mode from Chinese university. Here, students' interaction become more important in classroom. The international English language classroom would encourage students to think and speak in English, rather than in their native language.	Difference is rooted in sociocultural distinctions, which may affect how something is taught or how a classroom is conducted. The students are aware that in their current setting, their participation is important.

Results

Words identified as statistically significant are presented in Table 2. These words served as the starting point for data analysis. Then, the themes derived from the interpretation of these words within their context of occurrence are presented. Relevant responses are also showcased to further illustrate the themes. The responses are presented verbatim, with no corrections made on language. There are three themes, which are different yet inclusive, spurring improvement in writing, and learning affordances through native language. Of these themes, the first is considered the most dominant due to the highly recurring use of its key word ("different").

Table 2
Significant Key Words

Recurring Word	Frequency	Log-likelihood
Different	107	+613.81
Culture	33	+169.96
Writing	26	+174.85
Improve	23	+125.19
Native	20	+117.5

Different yet Inclusive

The first theme shows how the participants viewed their international experience as revolving around a sense of difference that is marked by cultural or linguistic diversity in the academic setting. These experiences are not just unfamiliar, but they also serve as learning moments where students become aware of divergent norms, beliefs, and communication practices challenge their own. Indirectly, becoming aware of differences promotes inclusivity as students would be prompted to develop or improve their communication skills. These are captured in the groups' responses below.

Group 4

Discussions among international students and professors are necessary. This is so that we know how to communicate something. "International" writing is for everyone from different cultural backgrounds, where different culture has different customs for gender pronouns. For example, some culture may suffers from the gender issues. They may don't want to see too many specific gender pronouns. It's better to use some non-sexist pronouns in the writing. It can help the spread of the writing.

Group 4, for instance, talked about how writing needs to be acceptable to an international audience. This requires possessing intercultural sensitivities so that the text produced is inclusive, for which one feature is the use of non-sexist language. This notion, however, may not be familiar to students. Yet, this may not be an issue as the response indicated that inclusive writing that is suitable for an international audience can be learned by students from different backgrounds.

Group 29

It is helpful to create a good learning experience when we international students come to another country for graduate courses, because we meet many professors and students from different backgrounds, where we can know oneself more, and have wider spectrum of insight so that we can learn independently and learn to be more international.

The notion of difference was also seen in the response of Group 29. Their response indicated the recognition that their peers and lecturers come from different cultural and language backgrounds, and as such would have other perspectives to share. This openness towards new ideas and perhaps even practices also points towards inclusivity. Moreover, there was an awareness that differences were to be learned independently by students themselves. What may be gleaned here is a sense of accountability of being in an international setting, where one would need to take the effort to build an understanding of their international counterparts.

Group 25

Among international students, the lack of awareness of using sexist language seems common due to different experiences of language expression compared to their own native language, particularly in differentiating the use of male and female pronouns. This phenomenon might lead to a misunderstanding that is supposed to be tackled by keep enhancing the language qualities of students, minimizing the wrong use of certain words, especially those who tend to be sensitive for some people. The role of the lecturer to teach and control class situations is remarkably immense.

Similar to Group 4, Group 25 highlighted the lack of awareness towards issues pertaining to sexist language in academic communication. The group's response also indicated the complexity of navigating through the sensitivities of language use, and that misuse of language may lead to misunderstandings. This response shows how linguistic expectations differ across cultures and how international classrooms must manage these variations. Inadvertently, it also positions the crucial role of educators in navigating and mediating such differences.

The theme on difference shows that studying in an English-medium university offers more than just linguistic benefits as it also opens doors to understanding cultural diversity. This may be traced to the exposure to different cultures through peer interaction and an active comparison and analysis of discourse pertinent to an international academic audience. This may be implemented through the development of an intercultural communicative ability, where students may be guided in noticing and interacting with cultural differences made apparent through language use (Loo et al., 2018). Yet, such contact may not always be easy to manage, nor does implementing intercultural engagement necessarily yield positive outcomes (Tavares, 2024).

Spurring Improvement in Writing

The next theme illustrates participants' perspectives about improvements in writing as a result of an international approach in English language learning. This theme shows the positive disposition that the participants have towards learning academic writing and communication in an English setting. This stems from the belief that their language skills would improve from being in an environment where the target language holds a dominant position.

Group 7

A good way to take English into practice because the lesson has tasks that are targeted towards academic writing. It is also helpful that we are taught how to understand how the English language is used in published papers. So from this course, we think we are improving English like learning the writing skill, and learning to avoid the unexpected issues in writing and speaking

Group 7 wrote that the tasks, including the analysis of published papers, were helpful to understand and improve academic writing. The academic writing course where the students were enrolled familiarized students through different tasks, such as the identification of common rhetorical strategies, and even transitional phrases and vocabulary used in published research articles assigned to them (e.g., Canagarajah, 2022). This process was perceived as beneficial as it taught them what to do and what to avoid when writing and speaking.

Group 10

Improve our English ability and doing this with others. When we are learning with others who face the same challenge, it doesn't make us feel so bad about our ability. So besides improving our English writing skills, we are also making more friends. This also gives us more opportunities to communicate with friends in English

The collective learning experience was also something that the students appreciated, as indicated by members of Group 10. In their response, they said that learning with peers reduced any negative feelings associated with their ability to communicate in English. The English lessons were also seen as having multiple purposes. Besides improving language or writing skills, the students were also making friends.

Group 14

As a foreign student whose first language isn't English, the English module does bring much to us, which can help improve our writing ability in order to accomplish a series of reports and assignments. The course also gives us an idea about fundamental features important for writing academic paper.

The perspectives seen in the response of Group 10 was also seen in Group 14, where there was an acknowledgement that the tasks provided by the course helped students become aware of features for academic writing. For postgraduate students, lessons that are targeted towards specific academic communication skills have been reported to be valued. Not only do these courses provide language practice that is focused, they also allow students to develop academic literacy skills they can use independently to do research work or to use in their subject courses.

Group 33

We think it is a good method to help to improve our communication and academic writing skill; we think it is a good chance to learn how to write professionally by looking closely at how other papers are written. Even though the lecturer gives us something that is not on our topic, it gives us an idea of how we should approach the articles that we are reading for our own research; in this class, everyone can also speak English formally with classmate without feeling shy.

Learning Affordances through Native Language

The next theme is learning opportunities presented through the students' native language. The focus here is not on the target language (English) but rather, the use of the same linguistic code by students and even their lecturers to facilitate and support classroom activities. This is indicative of an extent of translanguaging, where there is consensus for a safe space in the lesson to use linguistic resources of the students and the educator (Liu & Chen, 2024).

Group 6

We think this experience is "international". Both students and teachers use the same native language, which makes it easier for them to understand each other. Therefore, comparing grammar features between two different languages likes a cross-national language exchange/communication and it is a more international method for students to learn English.

The response from Group 6 reframes the meaning of an international experience through their recognition of students' native language as valuable, especially as a point for comparison with the target language being learned. For EMI at large, the presence and acceptance of use of students' native language not only illustrates the relevance of translanguaging, but it also reveals instances when other languages may be used.

Group 14

This approach is good. In fact, many students whose native language is not English are more or less affected by the grammar of their native language when they learn English, and their English is not very authentic. Therefore, the difference in grammar of different languages is compared. Learning English is very reasonable. Comparing the differences between languages is a sign of internationalization in the English classroom. There are commonalities and differences between languages, and exploring the similarities and differences can help students understand them well.

Similar to Group 6, Group 14 agrees that the use of the students' native language is an indicator for internationalization. There was also an awareness among students that their native language will impact their learning of the target language (English); hence, rather than ignoring what they know in their native language, students were receptive towards learning by comparing similarities and differences in form and meaning between languages (Appel & Murray, 2023).

Group 31

The professor tries to link the concepts between the native language and EL. This involves the comparison of similarities and differences between the two languages, so that students can see the cultural and meaning differences from the learning of the two languages. This makes it an international course. Secondly, the teacher has an international background and can use multiple languages fluently. This is very helpful.

Group 31 shows perspectives similar to that of Group 6 and Group 14, where differences between the target language and students' native language were compared. What was slightly different in the response of Group 31, though, was the mention of the instructor's multilingual background being perceived as helpful and probably necessary for such pedagogical practice to take place. What this response implied was also the students' ability to understand what may be different between the languages, which subsequently positioned them as having the propensity to analyze language even if they are not specifically studying languages or linguistics.

The responses provided by the participants demonstrate how the use of students' native languages even in English-medium instruction (EMI) contexts does not detract from internationalization; rather, giving a space for the use of students' native language affords students potentially more learning opportunities. Hence, instead of being viewed as a setback, the use of students' native language is viewed as a legitimate resource for understanding the target

language better. This resonates with a translanguaging approach, where both students and teachers negotiate meaning using their full linguistic repertoires to enable a more inclusive and reflective learning experience.

Discussion

This study illustrates perceptions towards internationalization by postgraduate students enrolled in an academic writing course. From their responses, the notion of internationalization was not shaped merely by geographic or demographic diversity, but through encounters with different communicative perspectives. The students' emphasis on cultural and linguistic "difference" highlights their recognition that global engagement often entails negotiating beliefs and communicative practices especially in written and spoken academic English (Gu & Lee, 2019; Loo et al., 2018). These findings illustrate the idea that internationalization should not displace local perspectives but rather coexist with them in meaningful and respectful ways (Sung, 2022). In this study, students identified inclusive practices such as the avoidance of sexist language as emblematic of an international classroom. This suggests that exposure to diverse perspectives fosters not only academic literacy but also intercultural sensitivity (Tavares, 2024). However, as highlighted in both the literature and this study's findings, such pedagogical spaces require deliberate effort by the practitioners and institution. Nonetheless, when there is an integration of locally situated beliefs, values, and linguistic traditions into the English classroom, it not only democratizes knowledge production (Gu & Lee, 2019), but also affirms that English can serve as a linguistic bridge towards plurality (Sahan et al., 2022).

In addition to highlighting differences, participants also acknowledged the role of the EAP course in facilitating academic writing development through collective and situated practices. Students appreciated tasks that modeled academic language, enabled peer interaction, and provided space for reflection. These methods are reflective of recent research advocating for dialogic and socially supported learning in EMI settings (Canagarajah, 2022; Dong & Han, 2025). Furthermore, this perspective affirms the value of internationalized classrooms not only as sites of language acquisition but also of identity negotiation, where writing is not just a technical skill but a social practice. The study's results also reveal support among students for using their native languages as part of the English learning process. Through contrastive comparisons between English and their first languages, students described learning experiences that were enriched, rather than hindered, by their existing linguistic repertoires (Appel & Murray, 2023; Liu & Chen, 2024). This affirms calls for pedagogical approaches like translanguaging that offer students

agency in how they use language and make meaning, especially as support for their academic journey at the university (Liu et al., 2025; Tsou, 2021).

Nevertheless, the findings also revealed ideological tensions, which may be observed in terms of students' perceptions towards the use of their native language. While open, they were also cognizant of the demands for suitable language use for academic and professional purposes. For the international postgraduate student, the latter may be crucial given the pressure to fulfill the institutional requirement and expectation to publish their research findings in an international English journal (Xu, 2024). As such, the openness towards translanguaging may be confined to fleeting moments in the EAP classroom, rather than having any critical impact on how students view English or other languages. This dissonance points to the broader sociopolitical tensions surrounding the global status of English in higher education, which Spangler and Adriansen (2021) discussed as being entrenched hierarchies and conservative expectations surrounding English language use in academia. This study therefore advocates for a more critically engaged EAP pedagogy, particularly one that reflects both the realities and the aspirations of international postgraduate students and resists the reproduction of narrow, exclusionary linguistic norms (Dutta, 2020; Shahjahan et al., 2022).

Conclusion

The study contributes to ongoing discussions on internationalization in higher education by highlighting the complexities involved in creating an inclusive and effective learning experience in EAP courses. It emphasizes the value of pedagogical strategies that actively support students in navigating different discourse conventions while valuing their diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds. Rather than assuming that internationalization is inherently beneficial, the findings suggest that its success depends on how well it is implemented. A more structured and intentional approach to integrating global perspectives in EAP courses can help students not only improve their writing skills but also develop a greater sense of confidence in their ability to participate in international academic conversations.

From a pedagogical standpoint, the findings suggest that fostering an inclusive academic writing environment requires a balance between introducing globalized writing conventions and acknowledging students' prior knowledge and linguistic resources. Rather than insisting on the adherence to standardized academic English, instructors should consider strategies that encourage students to draw on their own linguistic repertoires while gradually adapting to the expectations of international academic discourse. Practices such as translanguaging and contrastive rhetoric could serve as effective tools in bridging the gap

between students' existing competencies and the demands of academic writing in English.

Despite offering valuable insights, this study has several limitations. First, data was collected from a single institutional setting in Singapore, which may not fully capture the perspectives of students from different regional or economic contexts. Future research should consider expanding the scope of investigation to include more diverse language and socioeconomic settings. Next, longitudinal studies should also be carried out, especially those that follow students' academic development over time could offer deeper insights into the long-term impact of support afforded through formal academic writing courses or the use of English in the broader university context.

Biodata

Dr. Daron Benjamin Loo is currently senior lecturer at the Centre for the Promotion of Knowledge and Language Learning, Universiti Malaysia Sabah. He teaches academic literacy and communication at the undergraduate level, and supervises research on discourse at the postgraduate level.

References

- Appel, R., & Murray, L. (2023). A contrastive interlanguage analysis of lexical bundles in English as a foreign language writing: L1 Chinese, Japanese, and Korean. *JALT Journal*, 47(1), 35–58. <https://doi.org/10.37546/JALTJJ43.2-1>
- Bakogiannis, A., & Papavasiliou, E. (2025). Exploring inclusive teaching practices of English for Academic Purposes (EAP) in Higher Education (HE): Recommendations for practice. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 76, Article 101538. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2025.101538>
- Bhowmik, S., & Chaudhuri, A. (2022). Addressing culture in L2 writing: Teaching strategies for the EAP classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*, 56(4), 1410–1429. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.3172>
- Bolton, K., & Botha, W. (2020). English in Asian universities. In K. Bolton, W. Botha, & A. Kirkpatrick (Eds.), *The handbook of Asian Englishes*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118791882.ch6>
- Burns, M., Bally, J., Burles, M., Holtslander, L., & Peacock, S. (2022). Constructivist grounded theory or interpretive phenomenology? Methodological choices within specific study contexts. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069221077758>

- Canagarajah, S. (2022). Language diversity in academic writing: Toward decolonizing scholarly publishing. *Journal of Multicultural Discourses*, 17(2), 107–128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17447143.2022.2063873>
- Crowther, D., & De Costa, P. I. (2017). Developing mutual intelligibility and conviviality in the 21st century classroom: Insights from English as a lingua franca and intercultural communication. *TESOL Quarterly*, 51(2), 450–460. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44984765>
- DeCino, D. A., & Waalkes, P. L. (2019). Aligning epistemology with member checks. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 42(4), 374–384. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1743727X.2018.1492535>
- Dong, J., & Han, Y. (2025). “We feel excluded and isolated”: Multilingual international students’ emotions and agency in an EMI program. *Linguistics and Education*, 85, Article 101361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2024.101361>
- Dutta, M. J. (2020). Whiteness, internationalization, and erasure: Decolonizing futures from the Global South. *Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies*, 17(2), 228–235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14791420.2020.1770825>
- Ferguson, M. R. (2021). Internationalizing higher education with Thainess: A narrative case study of a rural-based university in Thailand. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2021.1882702>
- Flowerdew, J. (2022). Models of English for research publication purposes. *World Englishes*, 41(4), 571–583. <https://doi.org/10.1111/weng.12606>
- Gu, M. M., & Lee, J. C. K. (2019). “They lost internationalization in pursuit of internationalization”: Students’ language practices and identity construction in a cross-disciplinary EMI program in a university in China. *Higher Education*, 78(3), 389–405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-018-0342-2>
- Horta, H., & Li, H. (2023). Nothing but publishing: The overriding goal of PhD students in mainland China, Hong Kong, and Macau. *Studies in Higher Education*, 48(2), 263–282. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2022.2131764>
- Kanmodi, K. K., Salami, A. A., & Amzat, J. (2024). Multidimensional challenges of internationalization among universities in Southeast Asia: A scoping review of empirical evidence. *Future in Educational Research*, 2(3), 244–265. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fer3.45>
- Lau, K., & Lin, C. Y. (2017). Internationalization of higher education and language policy: The case of a bilingual university in Taiwan. *Higher Education*, 74(3), 437–454. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-016-0055-3>
- Li, Y., & Flowerdew, J. (2020). Teaching English for Research Publication Purposes (ERPP): A review of language teachers’ pedagogical initiatives. *English for Specific Purposes*, 59, 29–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2020.03.002>

- Liu, C., & Chen, M. (2024). Delinking from monolingual norms: A case study of Chinese postgraduate students' translanguaging practices in English academic writing. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 33(6), 1421–1432. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-024-00823-8>
- Liu, W., Han, J., Singh, M., & Wright, D. (2025). A translanguaging approach to doctoral supervision: Leveraging students' multilingualism as intellectual resources. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijal.12748>
- Loo, D. B., Trakulkasemsuk, W., & Jimarkon, P. (2018). The state of the intercultural communicative competence: An exploration through trajectories in English teachers' discourse. *PASAA: Journal of Language Teaching and Learning in Thailand*, 56, 33–64. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1224425.pdf>
- Marginson, S. (2024). Student self-formation: An emerging paradigm in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 49(4), 748–762. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2023.2252826>
- Medico, D., & Santiago-Delefosse, M. (2014). From reflexivity to resonances: Accounting for interpretation phenomena in qualitative research. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 11(4), 350–364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2014.915367>
- Munna, A. S. (2022). International students' learning experience on global curriculum. *Journal of Education*, 202(3), 243–254. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022057420972063>
- Pojanapunya, P., & Watson Todd, R. (2018). Log-likelihood and odds ratio: Keyness statistics for different purposes of keyword analysis. *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory*, 14(1), 133–167. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cllt-2015-0030>
- Pomat, N., Jannok, A., Buripakdi, A., & Wilang, J. D. (2022). Partial EMI nursing program: Insights from students and teachers in Thailand. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 12(7), 1386–1396. <https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.1207.19>
- Rahimi, M., & Fathi, J. (2022). Exploring the impact of wiki-mediated collaborative writing on EFL students' writing performance, writing self-regulation, and writing self-efficacy: a mixed methods study. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 35(9), 2627–2674. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2021.1888753>
- Sahan, K., Galloway, N., & McKinley, J. (2022). 'English-only' English medium instruction: Mixed views in Thai and Vietnamese higher education. *Language Teaching Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688211072632>

- Shahjahan, R. A., Estera, A. L., Surla, K. L., & Edwards, K. T. (2022). “Decolonizing” curriculum and pedagogy: A comparative review across disciplines and global higher education contexts. *Review of Educational Research*, 92(1), 73–113. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543211042423>
- Shao, L., & Rose, H. (2024). Teachers’ experiences of English-medium instruction in higher education: A cross case investigation of China, Japan and the Netherlands. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 45(7), 2801–2816. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01434632.2022.2073358>
- Shepard, C., & Rose, H. (2023). English medium higher education in Hong Kong: Linguistic challenges of local and non-local students. *Language and Education*, 37(6), 788–805. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500782.2023.2240571>
- Spangler, V., & Adriansen, H. K. (2021). Space, place and internationalisation of higher education: Exploring everyday social practices in the ‘international’ classroom. *Population, Space and Place*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.2458>
- Stormbom, C. (2019). Language change in L2 academic writing: The case of epicene pronouns. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 38, 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2019.02.001>
- Sung, C. C. M. (2022). English only or more?: Language ideologies of international students in an EMI university in multilingual Hong Kong. *Current Issues in Language Planning*, 23(3), 275–295. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14664208.2021.1986299>
- Tang, K. N. (2020). Challenges and importance of teaching English as a medium of instruction in Thailand International College. *Journal of English as an International Language*, 15(2), 97–118. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1282858.pdf>
- Tavares, V. (2024). Feeling excluded: International students experience equity, diversity and inclusion. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 28(8), 1551–1568. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2021.2008536>
- Tsou, W. (2021). Translanguaging as a glocalised strategy for EMI in Asia. In W. Tsou & W. Baker (Eds.), *English-medium instruction translanguaging practices in Asia: Theories, frameworks and implementation in higher education* (pp. 3–17). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-3001-9_1
- van Rijt, J. H., de Swart, P. J., Wijnands, A., & Coppen, P. A. J. (2019). When students tackle grammatical problems: Exploring linguistic reasoning with linguistic metaconcepts in L1 grammar education. *Linguistics and Education*, 52, 78–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2019.06.004>

- Wubalem, A. Y. (2021). Assessing learning transfer and constraining issues in EAP writing practices. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education*, 6(1), Article 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-021-00122-5>
- Xu, L. (2024). Chinese international doctoral students' perceptions of publishing: a time–space perspective. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 29(6), 1482–1499. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2022.2067473>
- Yang, P. (2022). China in the global field of international student mobility: An analysis of economic, human and symbolic capitals. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 52(2), 308–326. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2020.1764334>
- Yuan, Z. M., & Li, C. N. (2024). Investigating English-medium instruction for international students in China. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 45(4), 1271–1283. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01434632.2021.1965614>
- Zajda, J., & Jacob, W. J. (2022). Discourses of globalisation and higher education reforms: Emerging paradigms. In J. Zajda & W. J. Jacob (Eds.), *Discourses of globalisation and higher education reforms: Emerging paradigms* (pp. 1–18). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-83136-3_1