Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences 45 (2024) 803–814

Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences

journal homepage: http://kjss.kasetsart.org

Connectivism and digital age education: Insights, challenges, and future directions

Hamid Mukhlis[a,*], Een Yayah Haenilah[a], Sunyono[a], Dina Maulina[a], Laila Nursafitri[b], Nurfaizal[c], Noerhasmalina[c]

[a] *Program Studi Doktor pendidikan, Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan, Universitas Lampung, Kota Bandarlampung, Lampung 35141, Indonesia*
[b] *Program Studi Pendidikan Agama Islam Jurusan Tarbiyah STAI Darussalam Lampung, Kabupaten Lampung Timur, Lampung 34396, Indonesia*
[c] *Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan, Universitas Muhammadiyah Pringsewu Lampung, Kabupaten Pringsewu, Lampung 35373, Indonesia*

Article Info

Article history:
Received 27 July 2023
Revised 4 November 2023
Accepted 12 November-2023
Available online 30 August 2024

Keywords:
connectivism,
digital age education,
digital learning,
knowledge networks

Abstract

This paper presents a research study that delves into the learning theory of connectivism as a predominant learning paradigm in the digital era. Since its inception by George Siemens and Stephen Downes, connectivism has profoundly influenced learning and teaching methodologies, especially in the realms of online education and lifelong learning. For this research, the researchers employed a systematic literature review as their primary data analysis unit, meticulously collecting and analyzing various scholarly articles and sources that discuss the theoretical underpinnings, real-world applications, challenges, and advantages of connectivism. Our method involved a structured search of databases, selection criteria based on relevance and credibility, and thematic analysis of the collected literature. Through rigorous data analysis methods, the review findings unequivocally indicate that connectivism, notwithstanding its critiques, offers a solid framework for comprehending how individuals acquire knowledge in digital milieus and interconnected social platforms. This research result showcases case studies that elucidate how connectivism has been effectively implemented across diverse educational tiers, spanning from elementary to tertiary levels. While challenges such as the digital divide and an over-reliance on self-directed learning might impede its full potential, connectivism undeniably presents substantial prospects in championing autonomous, synergistic, and network-centric learning. Furthermore, we explore the potential trajectories of how connectivism might adapt and metamorphose in tandem with technological progressions and paradigm shifts in education. The overarching conclusion derived from this research underscores that connectivism holds immense promise as a pivotal component in architecting inclusive and adaptive learning ecosystems for the foreseeable future.

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: me@hamidmukhlis.id (H. Mukhlis).

https://doi.org/10.34044/j.kjss.2024.45.3.11
2452–3151/© 2024 Kasetsart University.

Introduction

In this fast-paced and interconnected digital era, traditional methods of acquiring, processing, and sharing information have drastically changed. Our global society is now immersed in an endless ocean of data and information, where digital technology has become the primary means facilitating our interaction with the world (Castells, 2011). In this respect, major changes have occurred in the context of education, a field inherently dependent on the exchange and construction of knowledge.

Our education system, which was once focused on classroom-centered teaching and learning, is now being challenged by new ways of acquiring and applying knowledge. Nowadays, the learning process does not only take place in classrooms but also through social interaction, online exploration, and direct experiences in various contexts, encompassing the real and digital worlds (Dron & Anderson, 2014). Therefore, this demands changes in how researchers understand and apply learning theories.

The learning theory of connectivism, first put forward by Siemens (2005), emerged in response to these new challenges. Connectivism is rooted in the idea that knowledge no longer resides solely within an individual's head, but is also distributed across various technological and social systems they utilize. Connectivism views learning as an active process of creating, maintaining, and navigating these knowledge networks, rather than merely accumulating knowledge in an individual's brain (Siemens, 2005). This learning theory represents a new paradigm in the world of education.

Connectivism provides a new perspective on how learning theories are beginning to shift towards the digital era by incorporating technology and making connections with it. In this way, the perspective of Connectivism is built as an alternative theory to address the limitations of behaviorist, cognitive, humanistic, and constructivist learning theories (Husaj, 2015). The principles of Connectivism align closely with the 21st-century learning objectives of fostering creativity and innovation in students in the digital era. Connectivism perceives that each student has a different pace in absorbing knowledge and completing school tasks.

So far, the relevance and implications of Connectivism in both formal and informal education have not been fully explored. In formal education, there might be challenges in integrating digital technologies or promoting collaborative learning– aspects central to the Connectivism theory (Downes, 2012). Understanding the precise issues faced in formal education settings can provide a foundation for implementing Connectivism-driven strategies (Kop & Hill, 2008). Similarly, in informal learning environments, such as online communities or self-paced online courses, there might be potential benefits or pitfalls in applying Connectivism principles that haven't been scrutinized (Bell, 2011).

Therefore, an in-depth discussion of the learning theory of connectivism and its application in the educational paradigm in this digital era becomes essential and relevant. Connectivism, in the context of learning, is explained as a theory developed to address the challenges posed by the digital era. Siemens (2005) defines connectivism as the view that knowledge no longer resides only within an individual's brain but is also distributed across various technological and social systems they utilize. In this view, learning is understood as an active process of creating and navigating these knowledge networks.

Downes (2008) explains that connectivism is rooted in the principle that knowledge and learning are not static but are networks that move and change over time. Downes notes that learning, in the view of connectivism, is a participatory and collaborative process in creating and navigating these knowledge networks. Kop (2012) emphasizes that connectivism places strong emphasis on the social role and community in learning. Kop asserts that in connectivism, knowledge is constructed and renewed through social interaction and community-based learning. Bell (2011) highlights the role of technology in connectivism, emphasizing that digital technology enables the formation of broader and more complex knowledge networks. Bell underscores that technology serves as a means for learning, not just as a learning aid.

Thus, connectivism can be defined as a learning theory that recognizes and accommodates the paradigm shift in learning in the digital era, with an emphasis on learning as an active and participatory process in creating and navigating knowledge networks distributed across various technological and social systems.

In this article, the researchers strive to bridge this gap by providing an in-depth discussion of the learning theory of connectivism and its application in the educational paradigm in the digital era. The researchers will explore the origins and development of the connectivism theory, its main principles, and how these principles can shape and enrich the learning paradigm in the digital era, and will also discuss the practical applications of the connectivism theory in various educational fields, with a focus on formal and informal education in the digital era.

Connectivism, as a learning theory for the digital age, has garnered significant attention in educational literature. In an era where digital technology and social media play a pivotal role in daily life, there exists a need to understand how individuals construct and share knowledge in digital environments. The rise of digital technologies has transformed the way individuals communicate, access information, and learn. This transformation has led to a shift in educational paradigms, necessitating a deeper understanding of how learning occurs in the digital age. Connectivism offers a framework to comprehend this process, emphasizing the importance of networks, interactions, and the diversity of knowledge sources.

To address the evolving landscape of education in the digital age and the challenges and opportunities it presents, this literature review aims to address several key questions. Firstly, how has the theory of connectivism evolved and developed historically? Secondly, how does connectivism align with the learning paradigms of the digital age? Thirdly, what are the challenges and opportunities associated with the implementation of connectivism in current educational settings? And fourthly, in the face of technological advancements and shifts in educational paradigms, how might connectivism adapt and evolve for future relevance?

To achieve this objective, a systematic literature review method was employed, ensuring a comprehensive exploration of the topic. We gathered and analyzed relevant literature sources, including journal articles, books, conference proceedings, and research reports. The analysis process involved categorizing and interpreting the collected data. We organized the data based on emerging main themes, including the definitions and assumptions of connectivism, its role in digital education, case studies of connectivism applications, as well as issues, challenges, opportunities, and future perspectives (Creswell, 2013).

This article contributes new insights by providing a comprehensive theoretical explanation of the concepts and basic principles of connectivism, as well as illustrating how this theory can shape and enrich the learning paradigm in the digital era. Researchers hope that this research will assist educational researchers and practitioners in better understanding and applying the learning theory of connectivism in their practice.

Literature Review

Connectivism is a learning theory that emphasizes the importance of non-human tools, hardware and software, and network connections for human learning. The theory highlights the development of "meta-skills" for evaluating and managing information and network connections, and notes the importance of recognizing information patterns as a learning strategy. Connectivists acknowledge the influence of information and communication technology on human cognition, theorizing that technology reshapes the way humans create, store, and share knowledge.

Its epistemological framework, based on the concept of distributed knowledge, is considered different from the epistemological traditions of objectivism, pragmatism, and interpretivism. (Siemens, 2006) considers these existing traditions limited due to their intrapersonal views of learning, their failure to address learning situated within technology and organizations, and their lack of contribution to assessing the value judgments that need to be made in a knowledge-rich environment.

The evolution of education in the digital age has been influenced by various learning theories and paradigms. Among them, connectivism stands out as a theory that aligns with the digital age's learning paradigms. To understand the depth and breadth of connectivism within the context of digital age education, a systematic literature review was conducted.

Historical Evolution of Connectivism

Connectivism, as a learning theory, has its roots in the works of (Siemens, 2005) and (Downes, 2008). Their foundational texts provide insights into how connectivism has evolved and developed historically. Connectivism posits that learning occurs through networks, both neural and external, emphasizing the importance of technology and digital connections in the learning process (Siemens, 2005).

Connectivism and Digital Age Learning Paradigms

The alignment of connectivism with the learning paradigms of the digital age is evident in its emphasis on the role of technology and digital networks. The digital age has transformed how individuals access, share, and create knowledge. Connectivism recognizes this shift and posits that learning is a process of connecting specialized nodes or information sources (Bell, 2011).

Challenges and Opportunities of Implementing Connectivism

While connectivism offers a fresh perspective on learning in the digital age, its implementation in educational settings is not without challenges. However, the opportunities it presents, such as fostering collaborative learning and leveraging technology for personalized learning experiences, are significant (Hsu et al., 2014).

Connectivism's Future Relevance

With rapid technological advancements and shifts in educational paradigms, there is a need to explore how connectivism might adapt and evolve for future relevance. Emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and augmented reality are reshaping the educational landscape, and connectivism, with its emphasis on networks and digital connections, is poised to play a pivotal role in this transformation (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). It is essential to acknowledge the methodological rigor involved in conducting literature reviews. Boell and Cecez-Kecmanovic (2014) emphasize the hermeneutic approach for conducting literature reviews, ensuring a comprehensive exploration of the topic. This approach involves iterative cycles of searching, reading, and interpreting literature, ensuring that the review captures the essence of the research topic and addresses the research questions adequately.

Methodology

The methodology used in this literature review is based on a systematic approach to ensure comprehensive coverage of the topic and maintaining the accuracy and validity of the findings (Creswell, 2013). The primary goal is to investigate and describe the learning theory of connectivism and its relevance in education in the digital era.

Identification and Selection of Sources

The first stage in this process is the identification and selection of literature sources. The researchers searched for research articles, books, conference reports, and other materials relevant to the topic of connectivism in academic databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus, JSTOR, and ERIC (Booth et al., 2016) using keywords like "connectivism," "digital learning theory," "networked learning," and "online learning," and selected sources based on criteria of relevance, quality, and publication date, with a primary focus on research and literature published in the last decade (Fink, 2019).

The quality of the research instrument is of utmost importance. Search strategies were tested on several databases to evaluate their effectiveness. Adjustments were made based on initial results to refine keywords and search criteria. Subsequently, the selected studies underwent quality assessment using a standard checklist. This checklist evaluates the clarity of the study's purpose, the appropriateness of the methodology, the validity of the findings, and their relevance to the research question.

Data Collection

Once the sources were selected, researchers proceeded with the data collection process. This involved thorough reading and noting down important information related to the main aspects of connectivism, including theoretical assumptions, applications in education, benefits and challenges, as well as future perspectives (Boell & Cecez-Kecmanovic, 2014). Given the significance of ensuring the relevance and quality of sources in a literature review, a stringent set of criteria was established for selecting the sources and cases for study. The following were the primary criteria applied; (1) Relevance to the Research Questions: Each source had to directly address or provide insights into at least one of the four research questions listed; (2) Publication Date: As this review emphasizes the digital age context, priority was given to sources published within the last decade. However, foundational texts or seminal works on connectivism, regardless of their publication date, were also considered; (3) Credibility and Rigor: Sources that were peer-reviewed and published in reputable journals, or were authored by recognized experts in the field of education and connectivism, were prioritized; (4) Diversity of Perspectives: We ensured that the selected sources encompassed a range of perspectives, including both proponents and critics of connectivism, to present a balanced view; and (5) Practical Applications and Case Studies: Special emphasis was given to sources that presented real-world applications of connectivism in educational settings or provided case studies that illustrated the theory in action. By adhering to these criteria, we aimed to ensure a comprehensive, balanced, and updated representation of the current state of knowledge regarding connectivism in the context of digital age education.

Data Analysis

The analysis process involved the categorization and interpretation of the collected data. Researchers organized data based on the main themes that emerged, including the definition and assumptions of connectivism, its role in digital education, case studies of connectivism usage, as well as issues, challenges, opportunities, and future perspectives. Researchers also evaluated criticisms and support towards connectivism, and how this theory adapts to changes in technology and education (Creswell, 2013).

Following the data collection process, researchers embarked on a systematic data analysis approach. Firstly, information was categorized based on the four research questions, with each literature source being scrutinized to ascertain which specific information could address each respective question. Secondly, themes or patterns emerging from the literature were identified, aiming to understand trends, similarities, and differences related to connectivism. Thirdly, a synthesis of information from various sources was conducted to achieve a deeper understanding, entailing the amalgamation of data from diverse sources to provide a comprehensive overview of connectivism in the context of digital-age education. Fourthly, the quality and relevance of the information were evaluated, recognizing that not all discovered information is deemed relevant or of high caliber. Consequently, the researchers selected the most pertinent and credible information for inclusion in the literature review. Through this data analysis approach, the researchers aspire to present a comprehensive and profound insight into connectivism within the ambit of digital-age education.

Writing

Based on this analysis, the researchers wrote this literature review in a format appropriate for scholarly publication, and ensured that every claim and statement made was supported by evidence from the reviewed literature. The researchers also included adequate citations and a bibliography to facilitate readers who wish to explore further (Booth et al., 2016).

Revision and Refinement

The final stage was the process of revising and refining the manuscript. The researchers reviewed the text to ensure the accuracy, completeness, and clarity of the information, ensuring that each part of the manuscript as a whole was capable of providing a profound and comprehensive understanding of the learning theory of connectivism and its relevance in the digital era (Creswell, 2013).

Results

The search strategy employed was tested across several leading academic databases pertinent to the topic of connectivism. The aim was to evaluate the effectiveness of the strategy in identifying literature relevant to the research topic. The results from this testing indicated that the search strategy used was capable of yielding a substantial amount of relevant literature.

During the literature search process, we identified a total of 102 sources potentially relevant to our research focus on connectivism in digital age education. This search was conducted utilizing several primary databases whose search strategy effectiveness had been tested. After an initial selection process, we decided to filter and select sources most pertinent to our research questions.

Out of the 102 sources identified, we conducted a rigorous review and narrowed these sources down to 42 primary sources most relevant to our study. This selection process was based on specific criteria such as relevance to the research questions, publication date, source credibility, and the perspective offered by the source. Of these 42 primary sources, 28 were peer-reviewed research articles published in renowned journals. Six sources were books authored by experts in the field of education and connectivism. The remaining eight sources consisted of conference reports, seminar papers, and other materials relevant to the research topic. The chosen sources encompassed various perspectives, including both proponents and critics of the connectivism theory. This ensured that our literature review presented a balanced and comprehensive view of the topic under investigation.

The learning theory of connectivism has its roots in the works of Siemens (2005) and Downes (2008). These foundational texts provide insights into how connectivism has historically evolved and developed. Connectivism posits that learning occurs through networks, both neural and external, emphasizing the significance of technology and digital connections in the learning process (Siemens, 2005). The literature analysis results indicate that the connectivism learning theory offers a robust framework for understanding how individuals learn in digital environments and social networks. It reflects how knowledge and learning are not confined to individuals but are dispersed and interconnected within broader technological and social systems. Connectivism has influenced learning and teaching approaches, especially in the context of online education and lifelong learning. Connectivism's emphasis on the role of technology and digital networks demonstrates its alignment with the learning paradigms of the digital age. The digital era has transformed how individuals access, share, and create knowledge. Connectivism acknowledges this shift and argues that learning is a process of connecting specific information sources or nodes (Bell, 2011).

While connectivism offers a fresh perspective on learning in the digital age, its implementation in educational settings is not without challenges. However, the opportunities it presents, such as fostering collaborative learning and leveraging technology for personalized learning experiences, highlight its potential in supporting autonomous, collaborative, and network-based learning.

With technological advancements and shifts in educational paradigms, connectivism has the potential to be a critical element in designing inclusive and responsive learning environments in the future. This is supported by various case studies showcasing how connectivism has been applied across different levels and types of education, from primary to higher education. In conclusion, this research provides in-depth insights into the relevance of the connectivism theory in the context of digital age education, highlighting its history, evolution, challenges, opportunities, and future outlook.

Discussion

History and Development of Connectivism Theory

Development of modern learning theories: From behaviorism, cognitivism, constructivism to connectivism

Learning theories have evolved over time, starting from behaviorism to connectivism. Behaviorism, proposed by Skinner (1938), focuses on behavioral changes as a result of learning, emphasizing conditioning and observable learning outcomes. Unlike behaviorism, cognitivism focuses on the internal mental processes involved in learning. Cognitive psychologists believe that learning is a result of active information processing by individuals, including understanding, memory, problem solving, and decision making (Piaget, 1952; Vygotsky, 1980). In this case, learning is considered successful when knowledge has been absorbed and understood by individuals.

Continuing this development, the theory of constructivist learning argues that learning is an active process of knowledge construction by individuals through experience and interaction with their environment (Jonassen, 1991; Papert, 1980). From this perspective, learning is an active and participatory social and contextual process, where knowledge is built and not just received.

However, with the emergence of digital technology and changes in how we access and share information, the connectivism learning theory proposed Siemens (2005); Downes (2008) indicates that knowledge and learning are not only confined to the individual, but are spread and interwoven in a broader technology and social system. Learning in the context of connectivism involves creating, navigating, and maintaining these knowledge networks.

Explanation of connectivism theory by George Siemens and Stephen Downes

Connectivism learning theory formulates a new approach to understanding learning in this dynamic and connected digital age (Downes, 2008; Siemens, 2005). They argue that learning is not just an individual process that absorbs and processes information, but also a network process that involves interaction and exchange of information between individuals and their environment.

Siemens (2005) defines connectivism as a learning theory that understands that knowledge no longer solely resides in the brain of an individual but is also distributed in the technology and social systems they use. In his theory, learning is considered a process to create and navigate these knowledge networks, rather than merely accumulating knowledge in the brain of an individual. Siemens also argues that learning decisions are processes that reflect the organization and structure of knowledge networks.

Downes (2008), on the other hand, deepens this concept by proposing principles of connectivism such as network diversity, connection strength, and learning context and content. He argues that network knowledge diversity allows for broader understanding and richer perspectives. Meanwhile, connection strength refers to the extent to which a person is involved in the network and able to explore and utilize knowledge sources within it. Learning context and content, according to Downes, must be considered together, where context shapes and influences content and vice versa.

In connectivism theory, knowledge is considered something dynamic and continuous, constantly evolving and changing with changes in the knowledge network (Downes, 2008). Therefore, learning in this context is not just about what knowledge is gained, but also about how individuals create, maintain, and navigate their knowledge networks. This learning process takes place in social and technology contexts, with individuals participating and interacting in knowledge networks.

Position of connectivism in learning theory discourse

Connectivism, in the discourse of learning theory, occupies a unique position as a response to the challenges and learning needs in the digital age digital (Bell, 2011). Unlike previous learning theories such as behaviorism, cognitivism, and constructivism which tend to focus attention on the individual as the center of the learning

process, connectivism extends this view by shifting focus to the knowledge network that involves individuals, their communities, and the technology they use.

Connectivism responds to some weaknesses in previous learning theories. For example, in the context of learning in the digital age, learning theories such as behaviorism and cognitivism are often insufficient because they focus on learning as an individual and internal process (Ertmer & Newby, 2013). While constructivism has already introduced the importance of social interaction in learning, it has not fully considered the role of technology and networks in learning. Connectivism, therefore, offers a more relevant and up-to-date perspective to understand learning in this digital age (Downes, 2008; Siemens, 2005).

However, the position of connectivism in the discourse of learning theory is not without controversy. Some researchers, like Kop and Hill (2008), doubt whether connectivism does represent a new learning theory or just an adaptation of previous theories. They argue that some principles of connectivism already exist in previous theories like social constructivism. Nevertheless, it is undeniable that connectivism reflects and explains well the new reality of how we learn in this digital age.

By understanding learning as a networked and connected process, connectivism provides a strong theoretical foundation for learning approaches that leverage technology and social practices in the digital age. In other words, connectivism tries to answer learning challenges in the digital age such as rapid technology development, changes in the way we access and share information, and the role and impact of social networks and technology in learning (Downes, 2008; Siemens, 2005).

Main Principles of Connectivism

Connectivism, as explained by Siemens (2005), is based on several main principles. First, learning and knowledge rest on diversity of opinions, indicating that knowledge is subjective and dynamic, continually evolving through social and cultural processes. Second, learning involves the active process of connecting various sources of information and knowledge, including connecting individuals with others and with external knowledge sources.

Third, learning and knowledge can reside outside the individual, in social and technological networks, indicating that tools and technologies serve as an integral part of the knowledge network and the learning process itself. Fourth, in the information age, it is not the actual knowledge that is most important, but the capacity to learn and know more. This includes skills like critical thinking, problem solving, and lifelong learning.

Fifth, connections or relationships are key elements in learning and knowledge, and maintaining and nurturing these connections is essential for continuous learning and knowledge growth. Sixth, the ability to see and understand connections between different fields, ideas, and concepts is a critical skill in learning and knowledge.

Seventh, the primary goal of learning in the context of connectivism is to acquire accurate and up-to-date knowledge, indicating that learning should be oriented towards current and relevant knowledge. Lastly, the learning process itself is a major determinant of what is learned and how information is understood and applied, encompassing how individuals select and evaluate knowledge sources, understand and interpret information, and apply knowledge in real-life contexts.

Corbett and Spinello (2020) state that the four elements of learning according to connectivism are autonomy, interconnectedness, diversity, and openness. Autonomy refers to the ability of individuals to organize and control their own learning. Interconnectedness refers to the importance of relationships or connections in learning and knowledge. Diversity refers to the variation in sources of knowledge and perspectives. Openness refers to the transparency and accessibility of knowledge and learning.

Connectivism and Learning Paradigm in the Digital Age

Change of learning paradigm in the digital age

In this digital age, a shift in learning paradigms has occurred in line with the development of digital technology and the internet. In this regard, learning is no longer centered in formal environments such as schools or other educational institutions, but has spread to various digital and online platforms that offer wide and easy access to diverse sources of knowledge (Buchem, 2013).

For example, present-day learners and students can access online courses, video tutorials, webinars, and many other learning resources for free or at a very low cost. The availability and diversity of these learning resources allow individuals to learn anything, anytime, and anywhere, giving them more autonomy in their learning process (Buchem, 2013; Kop & Hill, 2008).

This new learning paradigm also emphasizes the learning process as an activity to build and navigate knowledge networks, not just knowledge accumulation (Siemens, 2005). An example is learning activities within online learning communities, where individuals not only receive information but also participate in discussions, share ideas, and build collective knowledge (Corbett & Spinello, 2020).

A study by Dabbagh and Kitsantas (2012) investigating the use of social media as a learning tool demonstrates how this learning paradigm works in practice. They found that students who use social media for learning are able to create personal and dynamic learning networks, where they can collaborate, share knowledge, and learn from others' experiences. Therefore, the learning paradigm in this digital era encompasses more complex and dynamic aspects of learning, reflecting how digital technology and the internet have expanded the spaces and methods we learn (Buchem, 2013; Siemens, 2005).

The influence of technology and information accessibility on learning

Digital technology and the internet have had a significant impact on information accessibility and the learning process. Collectively, these elements have created a more inclusive and democratic learning environment, where knowledge can be accessed by anyone, unrestricted by time or location (Buchem, 2013).

Firstly, digital technology has facilitated access to information. Through the internet, individuals have access to extensive and diverse information sources, from online articles, digital books, to online courses and webinars (Dabbagh & Kitsantas, 2012). This enables learners to search for and select information relevant to their learning needs, and facilitates student-centered and autonomous learning (Siemens, 2005).

Secondly, digital technology also provides opportunities for interactive and collaborative learning. For example, social media and online learning platforms facilitate discussions and collaborations among learners, allowing them to share knowledge, exchange ideas, and learn together (Corbett & Spinello, 2020). This interactive and collaborative learning not only enhances understanding of knowledge but also helps learners to develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Dabbagh & Kitsantas, 2012).

In addition, digital technology and information accessibility enable learning to be more contextual and authentic. Learners can use technology to understand and apply knowledge in real-world contexts, such as through digital simulations or problem-based projects (Corbett & Spinello, 2020). An example of the use of technology in learning can be found in a study conducted by Dabbagh and Kitsantas (2012). In this study, they found that students who use social media for learning tend to be more active in their learning process, including participating in discussions, sharing knowledge, and formulating their own understanding of the studied topic.

The role of connectivism in digital learning

Within the context of digital learning, connectivism holds an essential position, acting as a bridge between technology and the appropriate pedagogical approach for the digital age (Bell, 2011). Connectivism guides how technology can be used to enhance learning and provides a framework for understanding how knowledge is constructed and shared in a digital environment (Siemens, 2005).

Connectivism reshapes our view of knowledge and learning. No longer perceived as a static entity to be absorbed by learners, knowledge is now seen as a fluctuating and evolving network (Siemens, 2005). In a digital learning environment, learners are not only consumers of information but also active contributors to the creation and dissemination of knowledge through their networks (Kop & Hill, 2008).

The role of technology in this approach is undeniable. Technology facilitates learning autonomy by providing wider and easier access to a range of knowledge sources, as well as enabling collaboration and knowledge exchange among learners (Corbett & Spinello, 2020). Technology also allows learning to be more contextual and authentic, as learners can relate the acquired knowledge to their experiences and contexts.

For instance, a study conducted by Kop and Hill (2008) illustrates how the principles of connectivism can be applied in an online course. In this course, students were given the freedom to explore and choose knowledge sources, collaborate and share knowledge with other students, and generate new knowledge based on their experiences and contexts. As a result, students developed a deeper understanding and improved critical thinking skills (Kop & Hill, 2008).

Thus, connectivism demonstrates how education can adapt and evolve to meet the needs and challenges of the digital age, leveraging technology to create a learning environment that is more interactive, inclusive, and student-centered.

Case study/example of connectivism application in educational practice

When discussing the use of connectivism in educational practice, it is important to consider various concrete examples across different levels and fields of education. In a study conducted by (Duke et al., 2012), the theory of connectivism was successfully applied in medical education. They demonstrated how learners, using various digital platforms, were able to access, share information, and collaborate in knowledge construction. Through this process, learners not only

acquired medical knowledge but also developed skills in building and navigating their knowledge networks.

Not limited to higher education, another example shows how connectivism can be applied in primary and secondary education. In a connectivism-based learning environment for high school students, students are encouraged to actively engage in information searching, collaborate with their peers, and create new knowledge based on their experiences (Siemens, 2005). Research findings suggest that this approach not only enhances students' understanding (Sung et al., 2016), but also their motivation (Hsu et al., 2014) and critical thinking skills (Richardson & Swan, 2003).

Connectivism, as a learning theory for the digital age, is highly relevant in the context of higher education, where digital technology and internet-based learning become increasingly crucial. Project-based learning using social media such as Facebook (Manca & Ranieri, 2016), twitter (Junco et al., 2011) can facilitate collaboration and interaction between students, creating an effective learning environment based on connectivism principles (Al-Rahmi et al., 2015). Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), with their focus on open and participatory learning, are concrete examples of connectivism theory application (Downes, 2012). The use of blogs as a learning tool can assist students in building their knowledge networks, which aligns with the principles of connectivism (Kop, 2011). Thus, through these various case studies, it can be seen how connectivism has been applied in educational practice across different levels and contexts, demonstrating its success in leveraging technology to create a more interactive, inclusive, and student-centered learning environment.

Challenges and Opportunities in Implementing Connectivism

Issues and challenges in implementing connectivism

The formulations of Vygotsky's social constructivism (1987), activity theory of Engeström (2014), social cognitive theory of Bandura (1986), constructivist theory by Papert and Harel (1991) and Clark's theory (1996) have all emphasized the social, situational, and relational aspects of knowledge and learning. However, connectivism has been criticized for its lack of connection between its basic principles and its underlying theories (Barry, 2013; Clarà & Barberà, 2014; Verhagen, 2006).

One of the main challenges in applying connectivism is the digital divide, which refers to differences in access and capability in using technology among certain individuals or groups (Hargittai & Hsieh, 2013). According to Van Dijk (2006), this gap involves aspects such as physical access to technology, the ability to use technology, and access to relevant content and services. This gap could limit the application of connectivism, given that access to and mastery of technology are prerequisites for learning in complex and dynamic networks (Siemens, 2005).

Furthermore, connectivism heavily relies on elf-directed learning, which can be challenging for learners who lack self-learning skills or who require more direct guidance from teachers (Kop & Hill, 2008). Another challenge is the evaluation and assessment of information. In the digital age, learners must be able to assess the quality and relevance of information, which can be challenging considering the enormous and often contradictory amount of information (Bell, 2011). The lack of empirical testing is also a major criticism of connectivism. Research on MOOCs shows varying results, with some showing low success rates and high drop-out rates (Armstrong, 2013; Karsenti, 2013; Mackness et al., 2010). Nevertheless, other studies have found that MOOCs have the potential to foster student autonomy and create learning communities (Karsenti, 2013).

Opportunities and benefits of connectivism in modern education

Most experts recognize the potential of connectivism to provide useful perspectives on how learning can occur in the digitally saturated and interconnected world we live in (Bell, 2011; Clarà & Barberà, 2014; Forster, 2007; Kerr, 2007; Kop & Hill, 2008; Lange, 2012). Verhagen (2006), for instance, sees its relevance at the curricular level contributing to new pedagogies in environments where control shifts from the tutor to more autonomous learners. While further development and testing are recommended, connectivism is increasingly portrayed as a theory in educational literature. For example, it has been included in lists of learning theories where this theory is classified within the constructivist paradigm. Study by Flynn et al. (2015) reported that connectivism is a highly relevant learning theory informing the use of social media in education. Other learning theories, particularly from the constructivist paradigm, are also considered relevant, and it is unlikely that educators will only utilize connectivism to help understand learning in technology-supported networks.

One of the main advantages of connectivism is its emphasis on the importance of building and maintaining knowledge networks. According to Siemens (2005), learning in the digital era involves the ability to see connections between fields, ideas, and concepts.

In practice, this can help learners stay up-to-date with the latest knowledge developments. For example, in a case study conducted by Duke et al. (2012) in the context of medical education, they found that a connectivism-based approach allowed students to access the latest medical research and collaborate in interpreting it. It also prepared them for an increasingly interconnected and multidisciplinary work world.

Connectivism can help students become more autonomous learners, using technology to access, evaluate, and apply new knowledge in different contexts (Corbett & Spinello, 2020; Kop & Hill, 2008), as well as facilitating interaction among learners allowing for the sharing and building of collective knowledge (Tschofen & Mackness, 2012). Encouraging learners to share their knowledge with others promotes greater independence and critical thinking (Siemens, 2005), and enhances learners' social and collaborative skills (Ito et al., 2009) that are much needed in the modern work world.

Looking Ahead: How Connectivism Can Adapt and Evolve with Technological Advances and Changes in Education

The rapid development of technology, coupled with changes in education, affords connectivism significant potential to adapt and evolve. Advancements in technology such as artificial intelligence (AI) assist in self-directed learning by providing individualized support tailored to learners' needs and preferences (Luckin et al., 2016; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). VR/AR technology (Bower et al., 2017; Radianti et al., 2020) exemplify how VR can be utilized to create immersive learning environments that enable learners to explore and comprehend concepts in realistic and relevant contexts. Blockchain technology, renowned for its secure and transparent features, can also play a role in a connectivist learning environment (Turkanović et al., 2018).

In addition, with changes in education, such as a greater emphasis on lifelong learning and inclusive education, connectivism can also play a pivotal role. In the context of lifelong learning, connectivism facilitates individuals to continuously update and expand their knowledge networks throughout their lives (Siemens, 2005). For instance, professionals aiming to remain relevant in their fields can leverage online platforms and learning communities to consistently refresh their understanding of the latest advancements in their domain (Bell, 2011). With this approach, learning is no longer confined to formal settings like schools or universities but can occur anytime and anywhere through access to digital resources and networks (Kop & Hill, 2008).

In the realm of inclusive education, connectivism can support the inclusion of students with special needs in diverse learning environments (Clarà & Barberà, 2014). For example, students with learning difficulties might find challenges in following lessons in traditional classrooms. However, with a connectivism approach, they can access learning resources tailored to their pace and learning style, enabling them to study in a supportive and inclusive environment (Forster, 2007). Furthermore, educators can harness technology to provide instructional materials tailored to the individual needs of each student, ensuring that every student receives the necessary support for success (Kerr, 2007).

Connectivism, with its emphasis on knowledge networks and self-directed learning, can support the concept of lifelong learning. For instance, Kop (2011) noted that a connectivism-based approach allows learners to continually build and update their knowledge throughout their lives. Similarly, with a focus on networked learning and collaboration, connectivism can aid in designing more inclusive learning environments that enable participation and collaboration among diverse learners (Corlett et al., 2005). Considering these potentials, it appears that connectivism will continue to remain relevant and adapt in line with technological advancements and changes in education.

Conclusion

This literature review has explored the role and relevance of Connectivism in the context of digital age education. Connectivism, as a learning theory for the digital era, bridges technology and pedagogical approaches, guiding how technology can be used to enhance learning and providing a framework for understanding how knowledge is constructed and shared in digital environments. This theory not only changes how we perceive knowledge and learning, but also emphasizes the learner's active role in knowledge creation and dissemination. Various case studies and examples across different levels and fields of education substantiate the successful application of Connectivism, leveraging technology to foster more interactive, inclusive, and learner-centered environments.

Moreover, Connectivism shows significant potential to adapt and evolve with the rapid technological developments and shifts in the educational landscape, supporting concepts such as lifelong learning and inclusive education. Specifically, in the realm of lifelong learning,

Connectivism emphasizes the continuous development and nurturing of personal knowledge networks. This approach allows learners to remain updated with the ever-evolving knowledge landscape, ensuring that they are always equipped with relevant and current information. By fostering a culture of continuous learning and adaptation, individuals can seamlessly integrate new knowledge, skills, and experiences into their existing knowledge base, making learning a continuous journey rather than a destination (Kop, 2011). Furthermore, the principles of Connectivism, such as the importance of diverse knowledge sources and the ability to discern and curate relevant information, are crucial skills for lifelong learners in the digital age.

For future research, it would be beneficial to delve deeper into the practical applications of connectivism in various educational settings. Understanding how different institutions and educators implement connectivist principles can provide valuable insights. Moreover, as technology continues to evolve, it would be interesting to explore how connectivism adapts to these changes. Researchers could also focus on developing guidelines or best practices for educators aiming to integrate connectivism into their teaching methodologies.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare relevant to this article's content. All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest or non-financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Funding

No funds, grants, or other support was received.

Consent for publication

All authors have given consent for publication.

References

Al-Rahmi, W., Othman, M. S., & Yusuf, L. M. (2015). The role of social media for collaborative learning to improve academic performance of students and researchers in Malaysian Higher Education. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *16*(4). https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v16i4.2326

Armstrong, L. (2013) *2013- the year of ups and downs for the MOOCs, Changing higher education*. http://www.changinghighereducation.com/2014/01/2013-the-year-of-the-moocs.html?goback=.gde_2774663_member_583221187577278874O#!

Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Barry, W. (2013). *Connectivism: Theory or phenomenon*. https://www.waynebarry.com/2013/04/29/connectivism-theory-or-phenomenon/

Bell, F. (2011). Connectivism: Its place in theory-informed research and innovation in technology-enabled learning. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *12*(3), 98. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v12i3.902

Boell, S. K., & Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2014). A hermeneutic approach for conducting literature reviews and literature searches. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, *34*. https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.03412

Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2016). *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review*. SAGE Publications. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=JD1DCgAAQBAJ

Bower, M., Lee, M. J. W., & Dalgarno, B. (2017). Collaborative learning across physical and virtual worlds: Factors supporting and constraining learners in a blended reality environment. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *48*(2), 407–430. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12435

Buchem, I. (2013). Serendipitous learning: Recognizing and fostering the potential of microblogging. *Form@re - Open Journal Per La Formazione in Rete*, *11*(74), 7–16. https://doi.org/10.13128/formare-12559

Castells, M. (2011). *The rise of the network society*. Wiley. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=FihjywtjTdUC

Clarà, M., & Barberà, E. (2014). Three problems with the connectivist conception of learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *30*(3), 197–206. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12040

Clark, A. (1996). *Being there: Putting Brain, body, and world together again*. The MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/1552.001.0001

Corbett, F., & Spinello, E. (2020). Connectivism and leadership: Harnessing a learning theory for the digital age to redefine leadership in the twenty-first century. *Heliyon*, *6*(1), e03250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03250

Corlett, D., Sharples, M., Bull, S., & Chan, T. (2005). Evaluation of a mobile learning organiser for university students. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *21*(3), 162–170. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2005.00124.x

Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. SAGE Publications. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=PViMtOnJ1LcC

Dabbagh, N., & Kitsantas, A. (2012). Personal learning environments, social media, and self-regulated learning: A natural formula for connecting formal and informal learning. *The Internet and Higher Education*, *15*(1), 3–8. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2011.06.002

Downes, S. (2008). An introduction to connective knowledge. In T. Hug (Ed.), *Media, knowledge & education: Exploring new spaces, relations and dynamics in digital media ecologies*. Innsbruck university press. https://doi.org/10.26530/OAPEN_449459

Downes, S. (2012). *Connectivism and connective knowledge: Essays on meaning and learning networks*. National Research Council. http://www.downes.ca/files/books/Connective%5fKnowledge-19May2012.pdf.

Dron, J., & Anderson, T. (2014). *Teaching crowds: Learning and social media*. Athabasca University Press. https://doi.org/10.15215/aupress/9781927356807.01

Duke, B., Harper, G., & Kaplan, M. (2012). *Connectivism as a learning theory for the digital age.* International Higher Education Teaching and Learning Association.

Engeström, Y. (2014). *Learning by expanding: an activity-theoretical approach to developmental research* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1017/CBO9781139814744

Ertmer, P. A., & Newby, T. J. (2013). Behaviorism, cognitivism, constructivism: Comparing critical features from an instructional design perspective. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, *26*(2), 43–71. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/piq.21143

Fink, A. (2019). *Conducting research literature reviews: From the internet to paper*. SAGE Publications. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=IVh_DwAAQBAJ

Flynn, L., Jalali, A., & Moreau, K. A. (2015). Learning theory and its application to the use of social media in medical education. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, *91*(1080), 556–560. https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2015-133358

Forster, T. (2007). Msg. 14, Re: What connectivism is. Online Connectivism Conference: University of Manitoba.

Hargittai, E., & Hsieh, Y. P. (2013). Digital inequality. In W. H. Dutton (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of internet studies*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199589074.013.0007

Hsu, Y.-C., Ching, Y.-H., & Grabowski, B. L. (2014). Web 2.0 applications and practices for learning through collaboration. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. Elen, & M. J. Bishop (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (pp. 747–758). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3185-5_60

Husaj, S. (2015). Connectivism and connective learning. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, *4*(1 S2), 227. https://www.richtmann.org/journal/index.php/ajis/article/view/6358

Ito, M., Antin, J., Finn, M., Law, A., Manion, A., Mitnick, S., Schlossberg, D., Yardi, S., & Horst, H. A. (2009). *Hanging out, messing around, and geeking out: Kids living and learning with new media*. The MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/8402.001.0001

Jonassen, D. H. (1991). Objectivism versus constructivism: Do we need a new philosophical paradigm? *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *39*(3), 5–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02296434

Junco, R., Heiberger, G., & Loken, E. (2011). The effect of Twitter on college student engagement and grades. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *27*(2), 119–132. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2010.00387.x

Karsenti, T. (2013). MOOC : Révolution ou simple effet de mode ? / The MOOC: Revolution or just a fad? *Revue internationale des technologies en pédagogie universitaire / International Journal of Technologies in Higher Education*, *10*(2), 6–37. https://doi.org/10.7202/1035519ar

Kerr, B. (2007). *A challenge to connectivism*. Transcript of Keynote Speech. Online Connectivism Conference. http://ltc.umanitoba.ca/wiki/index.php,

Kop, R. (2011). The challenges to connectivist learning on open online networks: Learning experiences during a massive open online course. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *12*(3), 19. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v12i3.882

Kop, R. (2012). The unexpected connection: Serendipity and human mediation in networked learning. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, *15*(2), 2–11. https://www.learntechlib.org/p/75082/

Kop, R., & Hill, A. (2008). Connectivism: Learning theory of the future or vestige of the past? *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *9*(3). https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v9i3.523

Lange, M. (2012). *Talk: Connectivism*. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Talk:Connectivism

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., Lab, U. K., Corcier, L. B., Pearson, & University College, L. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=3OZduwEACAAJ

Mackness, J., Mak, S., & Williams, R. (2010). *The ideals and reality of participating in a MOOC*. Proceedings of the 7th international conference on networked learning, Lancaster.

Manca, S., & Ranieri, M. (2016). Facebook and the others. Potentials and obstacles of social media for teaching in higher education. *Computers & Education*, *95*, 216–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.01.012

Papert, S. (1980). *Mindstorms: children, computers, and powerful ideas*. Basic Books, Inc.

Papert, S., & Harel, I. (1991). *Constructionism*. Ablex Pub. Corp.

Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children*. (M. Cook, Trans.). W W Norton & Co. https://doi.org/10.1037/11494-000

Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education*, *147*, 103778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103778

Richardson, J. C., & Swan, K. (2003). Examining social presence in online courses in relation to students' perceived learning and satisfaction. *Online Learning*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v7i1.1864

Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, *2*(1), 3–10. http://www.itdl.org/Journal/Jan_05/article01.htm

Siemens, G. (2006). *Connectivism: Learning theory or pastime of the self-amused?* http://www.Elearnspace.org/Articles/Connectivism_self-amused.htm

Skinner, B. F. (1938). *The behavior of organisms: An experimental analysis*. Appleton-Century.

Sung, Y.-T., Chang, K.-E., & Liu, T.-C. (2016). The effects of integrating mobile devices with teaching and learning on students' learning performance: A meta-analysis and research synthesis. *Computers & Education*, *94*, 252–275. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.11.008

Tschofen, C., & Mackness, J. (2012). Connectivism and dimensions of individual experience. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *13*(1), 124. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v13i1.1143

Turkanović, M., Hölbl, M., Košič, K., Heričko, M., & Kamišalić, A. (2018). EduCTX: A Blockchain-Based Higher Education Credit Platform. *Ieee Access*, *6*, 5112–5127. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2789929

Van Dijk, J. A. G. M. (2006). Digital divide research, achievements and shortcomings. *Poetics*, *34*(4–5), 221–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poetic.2006.05.004

Verhagen, P. W. (2006). Connectivism: a new learning theory? https://jorivas.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/11/connectivismnewtheory.pdf

Vygotsky, L. S. (1980). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=Irq913lEZ1QC

Vygotsky, L. S. (1987). Thinking and speech. In R. W. Rieber, & A. S. Carton (Eds.), *The collected works of L. S. Vygotsky: (Vol. 1), Problems of general psychology* (pp. 39–285). Plenum Press.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *16*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0